

# EXACT SOLUTIONS IN A 2+1 DIMENSIONAL VARIANT OF THE STANDARD MODEL

1.

Lutken, Aug. 97

— u —

## PLAN

- Vortices: what are, what they do represent  
(singular and soliton-like vortices)
- monopoles (SU(2) Y-M Higgs)  
('t Hooft tensor  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , P.S. limit)
- Planar counterpart: NLSM with local symmetry  
(all solutions  $\rightarrow$  Liouville vortices  
Bianchi identities)
- 2+1 dim. model reproducing  $F_{\mu\nu}$  as its field strength  
(solutions, and  $\partial_\mu$ )
- Conclusions and extensions to 3+1 D

## VORTICES

- 2+1 D configuration with:  
 $B$  localized  
 $\Phi(B) = n \Phi_0$

- research area: superconductivity (superfluidity):  
 solitonic version of strings  
 cosmic strings

Simplest example: A, B.

$$\vec{A} = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi} n \vec{\nabla} \theta \longrightarrow B = n \Phi_0 \delta(\vec{r})$$

But...

- 1) singular
- 2) ill defined energy
- 3) do not come from a local f.t.

Elementary justification  
 to vortices, we need

# Soliton like solutions

3.

Nielsen-Olesen (1974)

Maxwell-Higgs

$$\vec{E} = 0$$

$$\vec{B} \neq 0$$

2D monopoles

Hong-Kim-Pac  
Jackiw-Weinberg (1990)

C.S. + Higgs

$$B \propto F$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$\Phi(B) = cQ$$

2D dyons

Third approach: Follow the (properly modified) lines of H.P. in 2+1 D



- Liouville vortices
- All the static-finite energy solutions can be found in terms of  $w(z)$
- They generalize and regularize the A.B. vortices

We need to see what happened in 1974....

# 't HOOFT POLYAKOV MONOPLES

4.

$SU(2)$  Yang-Mills Higgs

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \varphi^a D^\mu \varphi^a - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\varphi^a \varphi^a - v^2)^2$$

Ausatz + Asymptotics:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^a &= 0 & F(r) &\rightarrow v & r &\sim \infty \\ \varphi^a &= \delta^a_i \frac{x^i}{r} F(r) & W(r) &\rightarrow 1/r \\ A_i^a &= \epsilon^{aij} \frac{x^j}{r} W(r) \end{aligned}$$

problem "conceptually" solved:  $\pi_2(S_2) = \mathbb{Z}$   
(in the above case,  $Q=1$ )

P.S. limit and Bogomoln'iy Bound

$\lambda \rightarrow 0$  but retaining the asymptotics!

$$\text{then, } F(r) = \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{rv}{\tanh(rv)} - 1 \right], \quad W(r) = \frac{1}{r} \left[ 1 - \frac{rv}{\sinh(rv)} \right]$$

$$\text{and } E = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[ D_i \varphi^a \pm \tilde{F}_i^a \right]^2 = \int d^3x \underbrace{D_i \varphi^a}_{\text{Bogomoln'iy Bound}} \underbrace{\tilde{F}_i^a}_{|Q|} \geq |Q|$$

$$D_i \varphi^a = \mp \tilde{F}_i^a$$

⇒ to find a Bogomolnyi Bound one has to get rid of the scalar potential

The winding # of the solutions is  $Q=1$

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{abc} \partial_i \hat{\varphi}^a \partial_j \hat{\varphi}^b \partial_k \hat{\varphi}^c = 1$$

$$\text{as } \hat{\varphi}^a = \frac{\varphi^a}{\sqrt{\varphi^b \varphi^b}} = \delta^a_i \hat{r}^i$$

Where are monopoles?

$$'t \text{ Hooft } \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = - \frac{\varphi^a F_{\mu\nu}^a}{|\varphi|} + \frac{\epsilon^{abc} \varphi^a D_\mu \varphi^b D_\nu \varphi^c}{|\varphi|^3}$$

$\vec{E} = 0$  and  $\vec{B} \neq 0$  such that

$$\int d^3x \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = -4\pi$$

PASS to the 2+1 D case, and look at natural modifications

6.

1.) Kinetic term for  $A_\mu^a$ : YM or CS?,  
(CS for convenience)

2.) P.S. limit:  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$  instead of  $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$   
(For topological reasons)  $V \sim \lambda (\varphi^a \varphi^a - v^2)^2$

$\varphi^a \rightarrow N^a$ , with  $N^a N^a = 1$   
(again,  $\pi_2(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ )

$\Downarrow$   
NLSM

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} \left[ \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\sigma^a + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\sigma^c \right] + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu N^a D^\mu N^a$$

All the static solutions are

$$A_0^a = \mp \frac{1}{\kappa} N^a, \quad A_i^a = i \text{Tr}(\sigma^a U^{-1} \partial_i U), \quad N^3 = \frac{|w|^2 - 1}{|w|^2 + 1},$$

$$N^1 = \frac{2 \text{Re} w}{1 + |w|^2}, \quad N^2 = \frac{2 \text{Im} w}{1 + |w|^2}$$

$w = w(z)$  arbitrary

and the solutions are  $O(3)$  self-dual

$$D_i N^a \mp \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon_{ij} N^b D_j N^c = 0 \quad (\text{Bog. Bound})$$

$$E_\sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} N^a \partial_i N^b \partial_j N^c = 4 \int d^2x \frac{|w|^2}{(1 + |w|^2)^2} = 4\pi |Q|$$

if  $w = (z/z_0)^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow Q = m$

What does the 't Hooft tensor reproduce  
in this case? Liouville vertices

$$F_{\mu\nu} = -N^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \epsilon^{abc} N^a D_\mu N^b D_\nu N^c$$

↓

$$\vec{E} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B = \mathcal{H}_r = \frac{4|w|^2}{(1+|w|^2)^2}$$

vertex;  $B$  localized and

$$\Phi(B) = 4\pi |Q|$$

Notes:

$B$  gen. sol. of  $\Delta \log B = -2B$

$w(z) = (z/z_0)^m$  gives the most gen. rad. symmetric sol.

$$B = \frac{4m^2}{r^2} \left( \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^m + \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^m \right)^{-2}$$

if  $z_0 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow B = 2|m| \delta(\vec{r}) \rightarrow \text{A.B. vertices}$

't Hooft tensor and Bianchi identities

8.

$$F_{\mu\nu} = -N^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \epsilon^{abc} N^a D_\mu N^b D_\nu N^c$$

is antisymmetric, gauge invariant tensor in any D

BUT

in 3+1 D

Bianchi id. **not** satisfied

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial^\nu F^{\rho\sigma} = k_\mu \neq 0$$

$$\partial_\mu k^\mu = 0$$

$$k = \int d^3x k^0 = \text{monop. charge}$$

$F_{\mu\nu}$  **cannot** be interpreted  
as an Abelian Field Strength

in 2+1 D

Bianchi id **are** satisfied

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\mu F^{\nu\rho} = \partial^\mu k_\mu = 0$$

$$k = \int d^2x k^0 = \text{vorticity}$$

$F_{\mu\nu}$  **can** be interpreted  
as an Abelian Field Strength



- Does it exist a gauge theory in 2+1 D reproducing 't Hooft tensor through its equation of motion?
- Does it exist an analogous question in 3+1 dimension?



# SM in 2+1 Dimensions

9.

Adopt standard notations:

$$G = SU(2) \times U(1)$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} \downarrow & \downarrow \\ g & g' \end{array}$$

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a \frac{\sigma^a}{2i} \quad B_\mu$$

$$\rightarrow T^a = \frac{\sigma^a}{2i}, \quad [T^a, T^b] = \epsilon^{abc} T^c$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + g [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$$

matter field :  $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$

such that  $Q\varphi = e \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

then  $Q = e \left( iT^3 + \frac{1}{2} Y \right) = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\varphi \rightarrow e^{i\frac{\Lambda}{2}} U^{-1} \varphi$$

$$\varphi^\dagger \rightarrow e^{-i\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \varphi^\dagger U$$

$$g' B_\mu \rightarrow g' B_\mu + \partial_\mu \Lambda$$

$$g A_\mu \rightarrow g U^{-1} A_\mu U + U^{-1} \partial_\mu U$$

$$D_\mu \varphi = (\partial_\mu + g A_\mu) \varphi - i \frac{g'}{2} B_\mu \varphi \equiv D_\mu \varphi - i \frac{g'}{2} B_\mu \varphi$$

$$D_\mu \varphi^\dagger = \partial_\mu \varphi^\dagger - g \varphi^\dagger A_\mu + i \frac{g'}{2} B_\mu \varphi^\dagger \equiv D_\mu \varphi^\dagger + i \frac{g'}{2} B_\mu \varphi^\dagger$$

Matter Potential:

$$V(\varphi^\dagger\varphi) = \lambda (\varphi^\dagger\varphi - v^2)^2$$

to have a B.B., we take "natural limit"  
in  $2+1$  D, i.e.  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

and  $\varphi = v n$ ,  $n^\dagger n = 1$   
and  $n \rightarrow e^{i\frac{\Lambda}{2}} U^{-1} n$

like a  $CP_2$  field with  
local  $SU(2)$  symmetry  
[but the  $U(1)$  gauge field here  
will be provided by dynamics]

Matter kinetic term + currents:

$$\mathcal{L}_m = D_\mu \varphi^\dagger D^\mu \varphi = v^2 D_\mu n^\dagger D^\mu n$$

$$SU(2) \text{ current } J_\mu^a = \frac{v^2 g}{2i} \left[ D_\mu n^\dagger \sigma^a n - n^\dagger \sigma^a D_\mu n \right]$$

$$U(1) \text{ current } J_\mu = \frac{v^2 g'^2}{2i} \left[ D_\mu n^\dagger n - n^\dagger D_\mu n \right]$$

Dynamics for the gauge fields: C.S. dynamics

Topological couplings:  $\tilde{F}^{\mu,a} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\nu\rho}^a$ ,  $\tilde{G}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\nu\rho}$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{tc} \sim \tilde{F}^{\mu,a} J_\mu^a + \tilde{G}^\mu J_\mu$$

# Complete Lagrangian

11.

$$\mathcal{L} = \sigma^2 \mathcal{D}_\mu n^\dagger \mathcal{D}^\mu n - \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left[ \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^a + \frac{g}{3} \epsilon^{abc} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A_\rho^c \right] \\ - \frac{\kappa_\beta}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\mu B_\nu B_\rho + \sigma_\alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^a J_\rho^a + \sigma_\beta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu} J_\rho$$

Eqs. of motion:

$$(\kappa_\alpha - g^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_\alpha) \tilde{F}^{a,\mu} - 2\sigma_\alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathcal{D}_\nu J_\rho^a - gg' \sigma^2 \sigma_\beta n^\dagger \sigma^a n \tilde{G}^\mu - J^{a,\mu} = 0$$

$$(\kappa_\beta - g'^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_\beta) \tilde{G}^\mu - 2\sigma_\beta \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \mathcal{D}_\nu J_\rho - gg' \sigma^2 \sigma_\alpha n^\dagger \sigma^a n \tilde{F}^{a,\mu} - J^\mu = 0$$

$$\left[ (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}^\mu n)^\dagger + 2ig\sigma_\alpha \tilde{F}^{a,\mu} \mathcal{D}_\mu n^\dagger \sigma^a + 2ig'\sigma_\beta \tilde{G}^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu n^\dagger \right].$$

$$\cdot (1 - nn^\dagger) \cdot \sigma^b n = 0$$

Look for static configurations providing a Bogomolnyi bound to the energy

"Change variables"

$$N^a = n^\dagger \sigma^a n \quad ; \quad g'' C_\mu = 2i \mathcal{D}_\mu n^\dagger n = -2i n^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu n \\ [g'' C_0 = -g A_0^a N^a]$$

Disentangles the complicated g.t. properties:

$$N^a T^a \xrightarrow{SU(2) \times U(1)} U^{-1} N^a T^a U; \quad g'' C_\mu \xrightarrow{SU(2) \times U(1)} g'' C_\mu + \mathcal{D}_\mu \lambda$$

Examples:

$$D_i n^\dagger D_i n = \frac{1}{4} D_i N^a D_i N^a + \frac{g''^2}{4} C_i C_i$$

$$D_i n^\dagger \sigma^a n = \frac{1}{2} (D_i N^a - i \epsilon^{abc} D_i N^b N^c) - \frac{i g''}{2} N^a C_i$$

$$D_i D_i n^\dagger n = -\frac{i g''}{2} D_i C_i - \frac{1}{4} D_i N^a D_i N^a - \frac{g''^2}{4} C_i C_i$$

⋮  
etc...

Hamiltonian and B.B.:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{J^2}{2} \left\{ g^2 (A_0^a A_0^a - (N_a A_0^a)^2) + (g' B_0 + g A_0^a N^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |D_i n \pm i \epsilon_{ij} D_j n|^2 \pm \frac{1}{2} (g \tilde{F}_0^a N^a + g' \tilde{G}_0) \right\}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\text{B.B.} = (\text{first 3 terms} = 0)$$

$$\text{but } D_i n \pm i \epsilon_{ij} D_j n = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} D_i N^a \mp \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon_{ij} D_j N^b N^c = 0 \\ g'' C_i = g' B_i \end{cases}$$

⋮

$$D_i N^a = \pm \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} D_j N^b N^c$$

$$g'' C_\mu = g' B_\mu, \quad A_0^a = A_0 N^a$$

⋮

$$2i D_\mu n^\dagger n$$

the Hypercharge field-strength is precisely 't Hooft tensor!

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{g}{g'} F_{\mu\nu}^a N^a + \frac{1}{g'} \epsilon^{abc} N^a D_\mu N^b D_\nu N^c$$

1) full agreement  $g=g'$  (or  $\theta w = \pi/4$ )

$$2) E = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \left| \int d^3x \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} N^a \partial_i N^b \partial_j N^c \right|$$

$$3) G_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{g}{g'} (\partial_\mu (A_\nu \cdot N) - \partial_\nu (A_\mu \cdot N)) + \frac{1}{g'} \epsilon^{abc} N^a \partial_\mu N^b \partial_\nu N^c$$

Explicit solutions (for convenience choose one sign in self-duality conditions)

- Consistency between B.B. and eq. of motion provides further conditions, e.g.  $\tilde{F}_0^a = N^a \tilde{F}_0$

$$A_i^a = \frac{l}{g} \epsilon^{abc} \partial_i N^b N^c, \quad l \in \mathbb{R}$$

↓

1.) s.d.c. simplifies to  $\partial_i N^a + \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} \partial_j N^b N^c = 0$

$$\text{and } N^i = \frac{2w^i}{|w|^2+1}, \quad N^3 = \frac{|w|^2-1}{|w|^2+1}, \quad w = w(z)$$

$w^1 = \text{Re } w(z), \quad w^2 = \text{Im } w(z)$

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} N^a \partial_i N^b \partial_j N^c = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2x \frac{|w|^2}{(1+|w|^2)^2} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$2.) \tilde{F}_0^a = \frac{l(l-2)}{2g} N^a \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{bcd} N^b \partial_i N^c \partial_j N^d$$

↓  
Then

solutions are known in terms  
of an arbitrary complex analytic function

$$\omega(z) = \omega^1(x, y) + i \omega^2(x, y)$$

$$+) N^i = \frac{2\omega^i}{|\omega|^2 + 1}, \quad N^3 = \frac{|\omega|^2 - 1}{|\omega|^2 + 1}$$

$$+) A_0^a = N^a A_0, \quad A_0 = \text{const.}, \quad A_i^a = \frac{l}{g} \epsilon^{abc} \partial_j N^b N^c$$

$$+) n \text{ (and } n^t) \text{ from } N^a = n^t \sigma^a n \Rightarrow n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\omega|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+) g' B_\mu = 2i D_\mu n^t n \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} g' B_0 &= -g A_0 = \text{const.} \\ g' B_i &= \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \log(1 + |\omega|^2) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consistency with Gauss' laws:

$$\frac{k_\alpha}{g^2 v^2} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha (2(l-1)^2 + l(l-2)) + \sigma_\beta}{l(l-2)}$$

$$\frac{k_\beta}{g^2 v^2} = \sigma_\alpha l(l-2) + \sigma_\beta$$

$$g A_0 = -g' B_0 = \frac{l(l-2)}{2(\sigma_\alpha((l-1)^2 + 1) + \sigma_\beta)}$$

Look at the hypercharge field strength

$$G_{0i} = 0$$

$$G_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij} \tilde{G}_0, \quad \tilde{G}_0 = \frac{1}{2g'} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{abc} N^a \partial_i N^b \partial_j N^c$$

↓

It is just the magnetic vortex obtained via 't Hooft contraction from the sol. of the Local U(1)

$$\Phi(\tilde{G}_0) = \frac{4\pi Q}{g'}$$

Energy:  $E = 2\pi r^2 (l-1)^2 |Q|$

Weinberg Angle:  $A_\mu^{em} = \cos \theta_w B_\mu - \sin \theta_w A_\mu^3 \rightarrow$

→ derive  $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^{em}$ ,  $\tilde{F}_0^{em} = \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i \omega_j^{em}$  and

$$\Phi(\tilde{F}_0^{em}) = \frac{4\pi Q \cos \theta_w}{g'} \Rightarrow e_m = \frac{2 \cos \theta_w}{g'} Q$$
$$e = g' \cos \theta_w$$

⇒ Schwinger quant. cond.  $e \cdot e_m \in \mathbb{Z}$

↓  
 $\cos^2 \theta_w = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta_w = \pi/4$  reobtained!

# CONCLUSIONS

16.

- In 3+1 D?

$\varphi = \sigma n$  not realistic, rather  $\varphi = f(r) n$ ,  $f^2 = \varphi^\dagger \varphi$   
and  $f^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$  for  $r \rightarrow \infty$

Then, rad. symm. case  $f(r)$ ,  $n = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi/2} \end{pmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow N^a = \frac{x^a}{r} = n^\dagger \sigma^a n$  and, in the "radial gauge"

$\frac{x^a}{r} A_i^a = N^a A_i^a = 0$ , the composite field  $g^a C_i = \epsilon_{ijk} D_j n^k$

gives  $F_{ij} = \frac{1}{g^2} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{x^k}{r^2} \rightsquigarrow$  Dirac monopole with charge  $1/g^2$

Thus, also in 3+1 D it exists a composite field, transforming like  $U(1)$  g.p. and such that, almost everywhere, its field strength coincides with the 't Hooft one.

- "phenomenology" need to be studied

(possible applications in "planar physics",  
meaning of  $D_w$  in H's 2+1 D context, ...)