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What is the physics we are after?

How can we achieve our goals?

Why is it important?
$\star$ - Map the 3D structure of the proton in terms of their partonic content.

- Characterize hadron structure in new ways
* Numerical simulations of QCD (lattice QCD):
- billions of degrees of freedom
- mathematical \&e computational challenges
$\star$ Comprehend and interpret the core of the visible matter


## Outline

## PHYSICAL REVIEW D 102, 111501(R) (2020) <br> Rapid communications Edifors' Suggestion Lattice

Insights on proton structure from lattice QCD:
The twist-3 parton distribution function $g_{T}(x)$
Shohini Bhattacharya@, ${ }^{1}$ Krzysztof Cichy, ${ }^{2}$ Martha Constantinou®, ${ }^{1}$ Andreas Metz, ${ }^{1}$ Aurora Scapellato, ${ }^{2}$ and Fernanda Steffens ${ }^{3}$

## Collaborators

S. Bhattacharya
Brookhaven National Lab

K. Cichy<br>Adam Mickiewicz University

B J. Dodson Temple University

* A. Metz

Temple University
B J. Miller
Temple University

- A. Scapellato

Temple University

F Fteffens
University of Bonn
PHYSICAL REVIEW D 104, 114510 (2021)


## In the quest of solving complex problems

In the quest of solving complex problems


## In the quest of solving complex problems



Alexander the Great while cutting the Gordian knot

## In the quest of solving complex problems







Alexander the Great while cutting the Gordian knot

## In the quest of solving complex problems

 Simntatemon-anatical systems simuiate non-anayticat systems


## In the quest of solving complex problems



Cow Sinnuirite on ansucuczu suguerna \#, menning
 Alexander the Great whil
cutting the Gordian knot

## Lattice QCD:

太 First principle formulation of QCD
$\star$ Space-time discretization of the theory (finite degrees of freedom)
$\star$ Same parameters as QCD in continuum
$\star$ Discretization is not unique
$\star$ Serves as a regulator:

- UV cut-off: inverse lattice spacing
- IR cut-off: inverse lattice size
$\star$ Removal of regulator:
- zero lattice spacing
- infinite volume
* Quantum fluctuations in the vacuum dictate observables
$\star$ Statistical mechanics methods may be utilized


## Exploration of hadron structure

$\star$ Structure of hadrons explored in high-energy scattering processes, e.g.,
$\Rightarrow$ Inclusive processes

$\Rightarrow$ Exclusive reactions
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- Exclusive pion-nucleon diffractive production of a $\gamma$ pair of high $p_{\perp}$

[J. Qiu et al, JHEP 103 (2022)]
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* Due to asymptotic freedom, e.g.

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{DIS}}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum\left[H_{\mathrm{DIS}}^{i} \otimes f_{i}\right]\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \quad[a \otimes b](x) \equiv \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d \xi}{\xi} a\left(\frac{x}{\xi}\right) b(\xi)
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Non-Perturb. part (process "independent")

- Non-pert. component provides information on, e.g., distribution of partons inside hadron
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## Nucleon Characterization

## Wigner distributions

* provide multi-dimensional images of the parton distributions in phase space
$\star$ encode both TMDs and GPDs in a unified picture $\qquad$ Study of GPDs is crucial in mapping hadron tomography


GPDs

* "Parent" functions for PDFs, FFs, GFFs
$\star$ Multi-dimensional objects
$\star$ Provide correlation between transverse position and longitudinal momentum of the partons in the hadron
$\star$ Information on the hadron's mechanical properties (OAM, pressure, etc.)
[Ji, PRL 91, 062001 (2003)]
[Belitsky, Ji, Yuan, PRD, 074014 (2004)]


## Generalized Parton Distributions


[H. Abramowicz et al., whitepaper for NSAC LRP, 2007]
$1_{\text {mom }}+2_{\text {coord }}$ tomographic images of quark distribution in nucleon at fixed longitudinal momentum

3-D image from FT of the longitudinal momentum transfer

## Generalized Parton Distributions


[H. Abramowicz et al., whitepaper for NSAC LRP, 2007]
$\mathbf{1}_{\text {mom }}+2_{\text {coord }}$ tomographic images of quark distribution in nucleon at fixed longitudinal momentum

3-D image from FT of the longitudinal momentum transfer

* GPDs are not well-constrained experimentally:
- x-dependence extraction is not direct. $\quad$ DVCS amplitude: $\mathscr{H}=\int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{H(x, \xi, t)}{x-\xi+i \epsilon} d x$
(SDHEP [J. Qiu et al, JHEP 103 (2022)] gives access to x )
- independent measurements to disentangle GPDs
- GPDs phenomenology more complicated than PDFs (multi-dimensionality)
- and more challenges ...
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Essential to complement the knowledge on GPD from lattice QCD
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$$
f_{i}=f_{i}^{(0)}+\frac{f_{i}^{(1)}}{Q}+\frac{f_{i}^{(2)}}{Q^{2}} \cdots
$$

$Q$ : hard scale

|  | $\mathrm{U}\left(\gamma^{+}\right)$ | $L\left(\gamma^{+} \gamma^{5}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}\left(\sigma^{+j}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | $\begin{array}{r} H(x, \xi, t) \\ E(x, \xi, t) \\ \text { unpolarized } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| L |  | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{H}(x, \xi, t) \\ & \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t) \\ \text { helicity } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| T |  |  | $\begin{gathered} {\underset{H}{T}}^{H_{T}, E_{T}}, \widetilde{E}_{T} \\ \text { transversity } \end{gathered}$ |

Probabilistic interpretation
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## Twist-classification of GPDs

Twist-2 $\left(f_{i}^{(0)}\right)$

$Q$ : hard scale

|  | $\mathrm{U}\left(\gamma^{+}\right)$ | $L\left(\gamma^{+} \gamma^{5}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}\left(\sigma^{+j}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | $\begin{array}{r} H(x, \xi, t) \\ E(x, \xi, t) \\ \text { unpolarized } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| L |  | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{H}(x, \xi, t) \\ & \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t) \\ \text { helicity } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| T |  |  | $\begin{gathered} H_{T}, E_{T} \\ \underset{\substack{H_{T} \\ \text { transversity }}}{\widetilde{E}_{T}} \end{gathered}$ |

Probabilistic interpretation
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T


Twist-3 $\left(f_{i}^{(1)}\right)$ (Selected)

|  | $\gamma^{j}$ | $\gamma^{j} \gamma^{5}$ | $\sigma^{j k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | $\begin{aligned} & G_{1}, G_{2} \\ & G_{3}, G_{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| L |  | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{G}_{1}, \widetilde{G}_{2} \\ & \widetilde{G_{3}}, \widetilde{G}_{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| T |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & H_{2}^{\prime}(x, \xi, t) \\ & E_{2}^{\prime}(x, \xi, t) \end{aligned}$ |

* Lack density interpretation, but not-negligible
* Contain info on quark-gluon-quark correlators
* Physical interpretation, e.g., transverse force
$\star$ Kinematically suppressed
Difficult to isolate experimentally
* Theoretically: contain $\delta(x)$ singularities


## Twist-3 PDFs / GPDs

* Certain observables require the use of twist-3 correlators
$\star$ Proton collinear twist-3 PDFs: $\quad g_{T}(x), e(x), h_{L}(x)$

$$
f_{i}=f_{i}^{(0)}+\frac{f_{i}^{(1)}}{Q}+\frac{f_{i}^{(2)}}{Q^{2}} \cdots
$$

- chiral-even $g_{T}(x)$ couples to inclusive DIS
- $e(x), h_{L}(x)$ : chiral-odd (need e.g. chirality flip process)
- $h_{L}(x)$ : double-polarized Drell-Yan process,
single-inclusive particle production in proton-proton collisions
* Twist-3 GPDs practically unknown; several challenges
- inverse problem - shadow GPDS [Phys.Rev.D 103 (2021) 11, 114019, Phys.Rev.D 108 (2023) 3, 036027]
$\star$ Twist-3 GPDs contain physical information
- $\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}$ related to tomography of $\mathrm{F} \perp$ acting on the active q in DIS off a transversely polarized $N$ right after the virtual photon absorbing [Phys.Rev.D 88 (2013) 114502, Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 9, 096021]
- Related to certain spin-orbit correlations [Phys.Lett.B 735 (2014) 344, Phys.Lett.B 774 (2017) 435]
- $G_{2}(x, \xi, t)$ related to $L_{q}^{\text {kin }}{ }_{\text {[Phys.Lett.B }}$ 491 (2000) 96]

$$
L_{q}^{\mathrm{kin}}=-\int_{-1}^{1} d x x G_{2}^{q}(x, \xi, t=0)
$$

## GPDs

## From Lattice QCD

## Accessing information on GPDs
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$$
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\sigma_{\mathrm{DIS}}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{i}\left[H_{\mathrm{DIS}}^{i} \otimes f_{i}\right]\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
$$

* Mellin moments (local OPE expansion)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\bar{q}\left(-\frac{1}{2} z\right) \gamma^{\sigma} W\left[-\frac{1}{2} z, \frac{1}{2} z\right] q\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z_{\alpha_{1}} \ldots z_{\alpha_{n}} \frac{\left[\bar{q} \gamma^{\circ} \stackrel{D}{D}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\alpha_{n}} q\right]}{\downarrow} \\
\text { local operators }
\end{array}
$$

$\left.\left.\left.\left\langle N\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| \mathcal{O}_{V}^{\mu \mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{n-1}}|N(P)\rangle \sim \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ \text { even }}}^{n-1}\left\{\gamma^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \Delta^{\mu_{i}} \bar{P}^{\mu_{i+1}} \cdots \bar{P}^{\left.\mu_{n-1}\right\}} A_{n, i}(t)-i \frac{\Delta_{\alpha} \sigma^{\alpha\{\mu}}{2 m_{N}} \Delta^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \Delta^{\mu_{i}} \bar{P}^{\mu_{i+1}} \cdots \bar{P}^{\left.\mu_{n-1}\right\}} B_{n, i}(t)\right\}+\left.\frac{\Delta^{\mu} \Delta^{\mu_{1}} \ldots \Delta^{\mu_{n-1}}}{m_{N}} C_{n, 0}\left(\Delta^{2}\right)\right|_{n \text { even }}\right)\right\}+\left.\frac{\Delta^{\mu} \Delta^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \Delta^{\mu_{n-1}}}{m_{N}} C_{n, 0}\left(\Delta^{2}\right)\right|_{n \text { even }}\right] U(P)$

* Matrix elements of non-local operators
(quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs, ...)

$$
\left\langle N\left(P_{f}\right)\right| \bar{\Psi}(z) \frac{\Gamma \mathscr{W}(z, 0) \Psi(0)\left|N\left(P_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{\mu}}{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text { Wilson line }}}
$$



$$
\left\langle N\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| O_{A}^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle=\bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\left\{\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{H}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\gamma_{5} \Delta^{\mu}}{2 m_{N}} \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t)\right\} U(P)+\mathrm{ht},
$$

$$
\left\langle N\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| O_{T}^{\mu \nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle=\bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\left\{i \sigma^{\mu \nu} H_{T}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\gamma^{\mu} \Delta^{\nu]}}{2 m_{N}} E_{T}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\bar{P}^{[\mu} \Delta^{\nu]}}{m_{N}^{2}} \widetilde{H}_{T}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\gamma^{[\mu} \bar{P}^{\nu]}}{m_{N}} \widetilde{E}_{T}(x, \xi, t)\right\} U(P)+\mathrm{ht}
$$
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- Number of GFFs increases with order of Mellin moment
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* Ultra-local operators (FFS)
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- Simulations at physical point available by multiple groups
- Precision data era
- Towards control of systematic uncertainties
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- Lesser studied compared to FFs at physical point
- Decay of signal-to-noise ratio
[M. Constantinou et al. (2020 PDFLattice Report), Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 121 (2021) 103908, arXiv:2006.08636]


## GPDs

# Through non-local matrix elements of fast-moving hadrons 

## GPDs on the lattice

* GPDs: off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators
* Off-forward correlators with nonlocal (equal-time) operators [Ji, PRL 110 (2013) 262002]

$$
\tilde{q}_{\mu}^{\operatorname{GPD}}\left(x, t, \xi, P_{3}, \mu\right)=\int \frac{d z}{4 \pi} e^{-i x P_{3} z}\left\langle N\left(P_{f}\right)\right| \bar{\Psi}(z) \gamma^{\mu} \mathscr{W}(z, 0) \Psi(0)\left|N\left(P_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{\mu} \quad \begin{aligned}
\Delta & =P_{f}-P_{i} \\
t & =\Delta^{2}=-Q^{2} \\
\xi & =Q_{3} /\left(2 P_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## GPDs on the lattice

* GPDs: off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators
* Off-forward correlators with nonlocal (equal-time) operators ${ }_{[\text {[A. Radyushkin, PRD 96, } 034025 \text { (2017]] }}^{\text {[Ji, PLL } 10 \text { (2013) } 26002]}$

$$
\tilde{q}_{\mu}^{\operatorname{GPD}}\left(x, t, \xi, P_{3}, \mu\right)=\int \frac{d z}{4 \pi} e^{-i x P_{3} z} \frac{\left\langle N\left(P_{f}\right)\right| \bar{\Psi}(z) \gamma^{\mu} \mathscr{W}(z, 0) \Psi(0)\left|N\left(P_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{\mu}}{\text { Computationally intensive }} \quad \begin{aligned}
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$\downarrow$ Standard definition of GPDs in Breit (symmetric) frame separate calculations at each $t$


- Statistical noise increases with $P_{3}, t$ Projection: billions of core-hours at $P_{3}=3 \mathrm{GeV}$


First ever calculation

## Progress in twist-2 GPDs


[ETMC, PRL 125, 262001 (2020)]

## Progress in twist-2 GPDs




* Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

$$
F_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}^{\mu}=\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{P^{\mu}}{M} A_{1}+z^{\mu} M A_{2}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{M} A_{3}+i \sigma^{\mu z} M A_{4}+\frac{i \sigma^{\mu \Delta}}{M} A_{5}+\frac{P^{\mu} i^{z \Delta}}{M} A_{6}+\frac{z^{\mu} \sigma^{z \Delta}}{M} A_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu} i \sigma^{z \Delta}}{M} A_{8}\right] u(p, \lambda
$$

[ETMC, PRL 125, 262001 (2020)]

## Progress in twist-2 GPDs


[ETMC, PRL 125, 262001 (2020)]
New approach [Bhattacharya et al., Phys.Rev.D 106 (2022) 11, 114512; Bhattacharya et al., arxiv:2310.13114]

* Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes
$F_{\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}}^{\mu}=\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{P^{\mu}}{M} A_{1}+z^{\mu} M A_{2}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{M} A_{3}+i \sigma^{\mu z} M A_{4}+\frac{i \sigma^{\mu \Delta}}{M} A_{5}+\frac{P^{\mu} i \sigma^{z \Delta}}{M} A_{6}+\frac{z^{\mu} \sigma^{z \Delta}}{M} A_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu} i \sigma^{z \Delta}}{M} A_{8}\right] u(p, \lambda$
[Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2310.13114]



## Investigations of

## Twist-3 PDFs/GPDs

## Twist-3 exploration

## Twist-3 exploration


[S.Bhattacharya et al, PRD 102 (2020) 11, 111501]
Twist-3 counterpart as sizable as twist-2

Burkhardt-Cottingham sum rule important check

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d x g_{1}(x)-\int_{-1}^{1} d x g_{T}(x)=0.01(20)
$$
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## Twist-3 exploration


[S.Bhattacharya et al, PRD 102 (2020) 11, 111501]


Twist-3 counterpart as sizable as twist-2

## Burkhardt-Cottingham sum rule important check

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d x g_{1}(x)-\int_{-1}^{1} d x g_{T}(x)=0.01(20)
$$

$\star$ Flavor decomposition for $h_{L}(x)$
[S.Bhattacharya et al, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 11, 114510]


## Parameters of calculations

* $\mathrm{Nf}=2+1+1$ twisted mass fermions with a clover term;
[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]

| Name | $\beta$ | $N_{f}$ | $L^{3} \times T$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $M_{\pi}$ | $m_{\pi} L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cA211.32 | 1.726 | $u, d, s, c$ | $32^{3} \times 64$ | 0.093 | 260 MeV | 4 |

$\star$ Calculation:

- isovector combination
- zero skewness
- $\mathrm{T}_{\text {sink }}=1 \mathrm{fm}$



## Parameters of calculations

* $\mathrm{Nf}=2+1+1$ twisted mass fermions with a clover term;
[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]
Ollaboration

| Name | $\beta$ | $N_{f}$ | $L^{3} \times T$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $M_{\pi}$ | $m_{\pi} L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cA211.32 | 1.726 | $u, d, s, c$ | $32^{3} \times 64$ | 0.093 | 260 MeV | 4 |

## * Calculation:

- isovector combination
- zero skewness
- Tsink $=1 \mathrm{fm}$


| $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\vec{q}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $N_{\mathrm{ME}}$ | $N_{\text {confs }}$ | $N_{\text {src }}$ | $N_{\text {total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 2 | 194 | 8 | 3104 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 2 | 731 | 16 | 23392 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 2 | 1644 | 64 | 210432 |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 | 8 | 67 | 8 | 4288 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 | 8 | 249 | 8 | 15936 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 | 8 | 294 | 32 | 75264 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.38 | 16 | 224 | 8 | 28672 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0)$ | 2.76 | 8 | 329 | 32 | 84224 |
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Symmetric frame computationally expensive

Suppressing gauge noise and reliably extracting the ground state comes at a significant computational cost

## Theoretical setup

* Correlation functions in coordinate space

$$
F^{[\Gamma]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\left.\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d z^{3}}{2 \pi} e^{i k \cdot z}\left\langle p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right| \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \Gamma \mathcal{W}\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\left|p_{i}, \lambda\right\rangle\right|_{z^{0}=0, \vec{z}_{\perp}=\overrightarrow{0}_{\perp}}
$$

* Parametrization of coordinate-space correlation functions
[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105]
[F. Aslan et a., Phys. Rev. D 98, 014038 (2018)]

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) & {\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.} \\
& +\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right) \\
& \left.+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+i \varepsilon_{\perp}^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

* Kinematic twist-three contributions to pseudo- and quasi-GPDs to restore translation invariance [V. Braun et al., JHEP 10 (2023) 134]
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$\star$ Twist-3 contributions to helicity GPDs: $\Gamma=\gamma^{j} \gamma_{5}, j=1,2$


## Theoretical setup

* Correlation functions in coordinate space

$$
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* Parametrization of coordinate-space correlation functions
[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105]
[F. Aslan et a., Phys. Rev. D 98, 014038 (2018)]

[S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 102 (2020) 11] (Editors Highlight)

$$
\begin{aligned}
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* Kinematic twist-three contributions to pseudo- and quasi-GPDs to restore translation invariance [V. Braun et al., JHEP 10 (2023) 134]
$\star$ Twist-3 contributions to helicity GPDs: $\Gamma=\gamma^{j} \gamma_{5}, j=1,2$


## Decomposition

* Requirement: four independent matrix elements

| $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\vec{q}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.38 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0)$ | 2.76 |

* Average kinematically equivalent matrix elements
$\Pi^{1}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=C\left(-F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{P_{3} \Delta_{y}}{4 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{y}(E+m)}{2 m^{2}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=i C\left(F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{\left(4 m(E+m)+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{8 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}} \frac{\Delta_{x}^{2}(E+m)}{8 m^{3}}+F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{y}^{2}(E+m)}{4 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=i C\left(-F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{8 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}} \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}(E+m)}{8 m^{3}}-F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}(E+m)}{4 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)=C\left(-F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}} \frac{E \Delta_{x}(E+m)}{2 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)=C\left(F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{P_{3} \Delta_{x}}{4 m^{2}}+F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{x}(E+m)}{2 m^{2}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{2}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=i C\left(-F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}}{8 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}} \frac{\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}(E+m)}{8 m^{3}}-F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{x} \Delta_{y}(E+m)}{4 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=i C\left(F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{\left(4 m(E+m)+\Delta_{x}^{2}\right)}{8 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}} \frac{\Delta_{y}^{2}(E+m)}{8 m^{3}}+F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{x}^{2}(E+m)}{4 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$,
$\Pi^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)=C\left(-F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}} \frac{E \Delta_{y}(E+m)}{2 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$,


## Consistency Checks

* Sum Rules (generalization of Burkhardt-Cottingham)
[X. D. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 610 (1997), hep-ph/9603249]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{H}(x, \xi, t)=G_{A}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t)=G_{P}(t) \\
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{G}_{i}(x, \xi, t)=0, \quad i=1,2,3,4
\end{gathered}
$$

$\star$ Sum Rules (generalization of Efremov-Leader-Teryaev)
[A. Efremov, O. Teryaev , E. Leader, PRD 55 (1997) 4307, hep-ph/9607217]

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d x x \widetilde{G}_{3}(x, 0, t)=\frac{\xi}{4} G_{E} \quad \int_{-1}^{1} d x x \widetilde{G}_{4}(x, 0, t)=\frac{1}{4} G_{E}(t)
$$

[^0]
## Lattice Results - Matrix Elements

t Bare matrix elements $\quad \Pi^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=i C\left(F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{\left(4 m(E+m)+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{8 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}} \frac{\Delta_{x}^{2}(E+m)}{8 m^{3}}+F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{y}^{2}(E+m)}{4 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$

| $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\vec{q}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.38 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0)$ | 2.76 |
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t Bare matrix elements $\quad \Pi^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=i C\left(F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}} \frac{\left(4 m(E+m)+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{8 m^{2}}-F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}} \frac{\Delta_{x}^{2}(E+m)}{8 m^{3}}+F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}} \frac{\operatorname{sign}\left[P_{3}\right] \Delta_{y}^{2}(E+m)}{4 m^{2} P_{3}}\right)$

| $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\vec{q}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.38 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0)$ | 2.76 |




| $\Phi$ | $\{1,+3,(0,+2,0)\}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Phi$ | $\{1,+3,(0,-2,0)\}$ |
| $\Phi$ | $\{2,+3,(+2,0,0)\}$ |
| $\Phi$ | $\{2,+3,(-2,0,0)\}$ |
| $\Phi$ | $\{1,-3,(0,+2,0)\}$ |
| $\Phi$ | $\{1,-3,(0,-2,0)\}$ |
| $\Phi$ | $\{2,-3,(+2,0,0)\}$ |
| $\Phi$ | $\{2,-3,(-2,0,0)\}$ |




## Lattice Results - quasi-GPDs


$F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}$ $F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}$



## Lattice Results - quasi-GPDs








Indeed, numerically found to be zero within uncertainties at $\xi=0$

$$
\int d x x \widetilde{G}_{3}=\frac{\xi}{4} G_{E}(t)
$$

## Reconstruction of x-dependence \& matching

* quasi-GPDs transformed to momentum space using Backus Gilbert
[G. Backus and F. Gilbert, Geophysical Journal International 16, 169 (1968)]
* Matching formalism to 1 loop accuracy level

$$
F_{X}^{\mathrm{M} \overline{\mathrm{MS}}}\left(x, t, P_{3}, \mu\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d y}{|y|} C_{\gamma_{j} \gamma_{5}}^{\mathrm{M} \overline{\mathrm{MS}}, \overline{\mathrm{MS}}}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{\mu}{y P_{3}}\right) G_{X}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(y, t, \mu)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m^{2}}{P_{3}^{2}}, \frac{t}{P_{3}^{2}}, \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{2}}{x^{2} P_{3}^{2}}\right)
$$

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 102, 034005 (2020)
$\star$ Operator dependent kernel

## One-loop matching for the twist-3 parton distribution $g_{T}(x)$

* Matching does not consider mixing with q-g-q correlators
[V. Braun et al., JHEP 05 (2021) 086]


## Lattice Results - light-cone GPDs



## Lattice Results - light-cone GPDs



## Lattice Results - light-cone GPDs


Negative areas in $\widetilde{G}_{2}$ theoretically anticipated:

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{G}_{i}(x, \xi, t)=0, \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

## Lattice Results - light-cone GPDs

$\star$ Direct access to $\widetilde{E}$-GPD not possible for zero skewness $\quad P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)$

* Glimpse into $\widetilde{E}$-GPD through twist-3 :


## Lattice Results - light-cone GPDs

$\star$ Direct access to $\widetilde{E}$-GPD not possible for zero skewness $\quad P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)$

* Glimpse into $\widetilde{E}$-GPD through twist-3 :

$\star$ Sizable contributions as expected

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t)=G_{P}(t) \\
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{G}_{i}(x, \xi, t)=0, \quad i=1,2,3,4
\end{array}
$$

## Lattice Results - light-cone GPDs

* Direct access to $\widetilde{E}$-GPD not possible for zero skewness
$P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)$

$$
\star \widetilde{G}_{3}(\xi=0)=0, \widetilde{G}_{4}: \text { small }
$$


$\star \widetilde{G}_{4}$ very small; no theoretical argument to be zero

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d x x \widetilde{G}_{4}(x, \xi, t)=\frac{1}{4} G_{E}
$$

## Consistency checks

* Norms

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{H}(x, \xi, t)=G_{A}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t)=G_{P}(t) \quad \int_{-1}^{1} d x \widetilde{G}_{i}(x, \xi, t)=0, \quad i=1,2,3,4
$$

| GPD | $P_{3}=0.83[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.67[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=1.38\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=2.76\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{H}$ | $0.741(21)$ | $0.712(27)$ | $0.802(48)$ | $0.499(21)$ | $0.281(18)$ |
| $\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}$ | $0.719(25)$ | $0.750(33)$ | $0.788(70)$ | $0.511(36)$ | $0.336(34)$ |

* Consistency checks show encouraging results
* Refining calculations is needed to address systematic effects and extract reliable numbers


## Alternative setup

* Alternative kinematic setup can be utilized
[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105]
[Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2310.13114]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) {\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.} \\
&+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right) \\
&+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}}^{3} \\
&\left.\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+i \varepsilon_{\perp}^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{F}^{\mu}(z, P, \Delta) \equiv & \left\langle p_{f} ; \lambda^{\prime}\right| \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{W}\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\left|p_{i} ; \lambda\right\rangle \\
= & \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) \\
& {\left[\frac{i \epsilon^{\mu P z \Delta}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{1}+\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{A}_{2}+\gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{3}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{4}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{5}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+m \not \approx \gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{6}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{8}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

## Alternative setup

* Alternative kinematic setup can be utilized
[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105]


## [Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2310.13114]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) {\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.} \\
&+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right) \\
&+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}}^{3} \\
&\left.\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+i \varepsilon_{\perp}^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widetilde{F}^{\mu}(z, P, \Delta) \equiv\left\langle p_{f} ; \lambda^{\prime}\right| \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{W}\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\left|p_{i} ; \lambda\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) & {\left[\frac{i \epsilon^{\mu P z \Delta}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{1}+\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{A}_{2}+\gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{3}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{4}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{5}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+m \not \approx \gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{6}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{8}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}^{s}=\frac{-2 E^{2}}{P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+2 \tilde{A}_{5}
$$

$$
F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}^{s}=\frac{-E^{2}\left(\Delta_{x}^{2}+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{2 m^{2} P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+\tilde{A}_{2}
$$

$$
F_{G_{3}}^{s}=z P_{3} \tilde{A}_{8}
$$

$$
F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}^{s}=\frac{-E P_{3}}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{-E^{2}}{P_{3}}+P_{3}\right) z \tilde{A}_{1}
$$

## Alternative setup

* Alternative kinematic setup can be utilized
[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105]
[Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2310.13114]

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) & {\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.} \\
& +\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right) \\
& \left.+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+i \varepsilon_{\perp}^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widetilde{F}^{\mu}(z, P, \Delta) \equiv\left\langle p_{f} ; \lambda^{\prime}\right| \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{W}\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\left|p_{i} ; \lambda\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) {\left[\frac{i \epsilon^{\mu P z \Delta}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{1}+\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{A}_{2}+\gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{3}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{4}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{5}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.+m \not \approx \gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{6}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{8}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}^{s}=\frac{-2 E^{2}}{P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+2 \tilde{A}_{5} \\
& F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}^{s}=\frac{-E^{2}\left(\Delta_{x}^{2}+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{2 m^{2} P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+\tilde{A}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

* Kinematic coefficients defined in symmetric frame


## * Amplitudes extracted from any frame.

Asymmetric frame calculations give $\tilde{A}_{i}$ at $t^{a}$, but $F_{i}$ defined in $t^{s}$
$F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}^{s}=z P_{3} \tilde{A}_{8}$
$F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}^{s}=\frac{-E P_{3}}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{-E^{2}}{P_{3}}+P_{3}\right) z \tilde{A}_{1}$

## Alternative setup

* Alternative kinematic setup can be utilized
[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105]
[Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2310.13114]

$$
\widetilde{F}^{\mu}(z, P, \Delta) \equiv\left\langle p_{f} ; \lambda^{\prime}\right| \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{W}\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\left|p_{i} ; \lambda\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) & {\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.} \\
& +\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right) \\
& \left.+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+i \varepsilon_{\perp}^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left[\frac{i \epsilon^{\mu P z \Delta}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{1}+\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{A}_{2}+\gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{3}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{4}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{5}\right)\right. \\
\left.+m \not \approx \gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{6}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{8}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}^{s}=\frac{-2 E^{2}}{P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+2 \tilde{A}_{5} \\
& F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}^{s}=\frac{-E^{2}\left(\Delta_{x}^{2}+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{2 m^{2} P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+\tilde{A}_{2} \\
& F_{\widetilde{G_{3}}}^{s}=z_{3} \tilde{A}_{8} \\
& F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}^{s}=\frac{-E P_{3}}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{-E^{2}}{P_{3}}+P_{3}\right) z \tilde{A}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

* Kinematic coefficients defined in symmetric frame


## 太 Amplitudes extracted from any frame.

Asymmetric frame calculations give $\tilde{A}_{i}$ at $t^{a}$, but $F_{i}$ defined in $t^{s}$

Lorentz transformation
of kinematic factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}^{a}=\frac{-E_{f}\left(E_{f}+E_{i}\right)}{P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+2 \tilde{A}_{5} \\
& F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}^{a}=\frac{-E_{f}^{2}\left(\Delta_{x}^{2}+\Delta_{y}^{2}\right)}{2 m^{2} P_{3}} z \tilde{A}_{1}+\tilde{A}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}^{a}=z P_{3} \tilde{A}_{8}
$$

$$
F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}^{a}=-\sqrt{\frac{E_{f}\left(E_{f}+E_{i}\right)}{2}} \frac{P_{3}}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{-E_{f}\left(E_{f}+E_{i}\right)}{2 P_{3}}+P_{3}\right) z \tilde{A}_{1}
$$

## Amplitudes (proof-of-concept)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\vec{p}_{f}^{s}=\vec{P}+\frac{\vec{Q}}{2}, & \vec{p}_{i}^{s}=\vec{P}-\frac{\vec{Q}}{2} & t^{s}=-\vec{Q}^{2} \\
\vec{p}_{f}^{a}=\vec{P}, & \vec{p}_{i}^{a}=\vec{P}-\vec{Q} & t^{a}=-\vec{Q}^{2}+\left(E_{f}-E_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$



FIG. 5. Comparison of bare values of $\widetilde{A}_{2}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{5}$ in the symmetric (filled symbols) and asymmetric (open symbols) frame. The real (imaginary) part of each quantity is shown in the left (right) column. The data correspond to $\left|P_{3}\right|=1.25 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $-t=0.69 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\left(-t=0.65 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ for the symmetric (asymmetric) frame.

## Alternative setup

* Separate calculation for each $-t$ value in symmetric frame
* Asymmetric frame: 2 classes of $\vec{Q}:\left(Q_{x}, 0,0\right),\left(Q_{x}, Q_{y}, 0\right)$


## Alternative setup

* Separate calculation for each $-t$ value in symmetric frame
* Asymmetric frame: 2 classes of $\vec{Q}:\left(Q_{x}, 0,0\right),\left(Q_{x}, Q_{y}, 0\right)$

| frame | $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\Delta\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $\xi$ | $N_{\mathrm{ME}}$ | $N_{\text {confs }}$ | $N_{\text {src }}$ | $N_{\mathrm{tot}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 731 | 16 | 23392 |
| symm | $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 67 | 8 | 4288 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 249 | 8 | 15936 |
| symm | $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 294 | 32 | 75264 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.39 | 0 | 16 | 224 | 8 | 28672 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0),(0, \pm 4,0)$ | 2.76 | 0 | 8 | 329 | 32 | 84224 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1,0,0),(0, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.17 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.33 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.64 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 2,0),( \pm 2, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.80 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.16 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 24832 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 3,0,0),(0, \pm 3,0)$ | 1.37 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 3,0),( \pm 3, \pm 1,0)$ | 1.50 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0),(0, \pm 4,0)$ | 2.26 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |

## * Momentum transfer range is very optimistic

(some values have enhanced systematic uncertainties)

## Alternative setup

* Separate calculation for each $-t$ value in symmetric frame
* Asymmetric frame: 2 classes of $\vec{Q}:\left(Q_{x}, 0,0\right),\left(Q_{x}, Q_{y}, 0\right)$

| frame | $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $\xi$ | $N_{\text {ME }}$ | $N_{\text {confs }}$ | $N_{\text {src }}$ | $N_{\text {tot }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 731 | 16 | 23392 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 249 | 8 | 15936 |
|  |  | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.39 | 0 | 16 | 224 | 8 | 28672 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0),(0, \pm 4,0)$ | 2.76 | 0 | 8 | 329 | 32 | 84224 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1,0,0),(0, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.17 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.33 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.64 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 2,0),( \pm 2, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.80 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.16 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 24832 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 3,0,0),(0, \pm 3,0)$ | 1.37 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 3,0),( \pm 3, \pm 1,0)$ | 1.50 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0),(0, \pm 4,0)$ | 2.26 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |

* Momentum transfer range is very optimistic
(some values have enhanced systematic uncertainties)


## Alternative setup

- Separate calculation for each $-t$ value in symmetric frame
* Asymmetric frame: 2 classes of $\vec{Q}:\left(Q_{x}, 0,0\right),\left(Q_{x}, Q_{y}, 0\right)$
asymmetric frame

| frame | $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $\xi$ | $N_{\text {ME }}$ | $N_{\text {confs }}$ | $N_{\text {src }}$ | $N_{\text {tot }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 731 | 16 | 23392 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 249 | 8 | 15936 |
|  |  |  | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.39 | 0 | 16 | 224 | 8 |

* Momentum transfer range is very optimistic
(some values have enhanced systematic uncertainti


Impressive quality of signal quality
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* Small, but not negligible
* Satisfies the sum rule: $\int_{-1}^{+1} d x x \widetilde{G}_{3}=\frac{1}{4} G_{E}$

$F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}^{a}=-\sqrt{\frac{E_{f}\left(E_{f}+E_{i}\right)}{2}} \frac{P_{3}}{m^{2}}\left(\frac{-E_{f}\left(E_{f}+E_{i}\right)}{2 P_{3}}+P_{3}\right) z \tilde{A}_{1}$
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## Extension to twist-3 tensor GPDs

* Parametrization [Meissner et al., JHEP 08 (2009) 056]

$$
F^{\left[\sigma^{+-} \gamma_{5}\right]}=\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left(\gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{H}_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{P^{+} \gamma_{5}}{M} \widetilde{E}_{2}^{\prime}\right) u(p)
$$
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Three bridge faculty positions will be created in nuclear theory.
Stony Brook \& Temple: Faculty positions in Fall 2024

The QGT Collaboration has a main goal of spearheading understanding and discovery in the quark and gluon tomography of hadrons, as well as the origin of their mass and spin.

1. Theoretical studies of high-momentum transfer processes using perturbative QCD methods and study of GPDs properties
2. Lattice QCD calculations of GPDs and related structures
3. Global analysis of GPDs based on experimental data using modern data analysis techniques for inference and uncertainty quantification

Three bridge faculty positions will be created in nuclear theory.
Stony Brook \& Temple: Faculty positions in Fall 2024

Focus Areas - Composition \& Expertise
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## Synergy

* The efforts from the three focus areas are interdependent and connected at multiple levels.

* Utilizing individual efforts from different focus areas and creating essential new synergies is a unique aspect of the topical collaboration
- impose constraints in global analysis guided by theory
- impose constraints by incorporating lattice data in global analysis
- address challenges by combining lattice \& experimental data, as guided by theory


## Summary

* We address computationally expensive calculations GPDs with signal comparable to PDFs
$\star$ Several improvements needed (e.g., mixing with quark-gluon-quark correlators)
* New proposal for Lorentz invariant decomposition has great advantages:
- significant reduction of computational cost
- access to a broad range of $t$ and $\xi$
* Future calculations have the potential to transform the field of GPDs Lattice QCD data on GPDs will play an important role in the pre-EIC era and can complement experimental efforts of JLab@12GeV
* Synergy with phenomenology is an exciting prospect
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USQCD
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[^0]:    $G_{E}$ : electric FF

