Sang-Ho Kim (金相鎬)

Soongsil University, Seoul Origin of Matter and Evolution of Galaxy (OMEG) Institute





In collaboration with S.i.Nam (PKNU), H.-S.H.Lee (ANL), Y.Oh (KNU)

2nd APCTP Workshop on the Physics of Electron Ion Collider 11.30 - 12.02, 2023, Daegu Grand Hotel

Contents

1. $\gamma p \rightarrow \varphi(1020) p$ 2. $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \varphi(1020) p$

Introduction

Formalism

Results

Pomeron models & Application

Summary & Future work

Contents based on

[S.H.Kim, S.i.Nam, PRC.100.065208 (2019)] [S.H.Kim, S.i.Nam, PRC.101.065201 (2020)] [S.H.Kim, T.S.H.Lee, S.i.Nam, Y. Oh, PRC.104.045202 (2021)]

Introduction

♦ photoproduction $\gamma p \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ ⇒ $\gamma^* p \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ Regge model, at low W & Q²
pQCD model, at high W & Q²
production off nuclear tax

production off nuclear targets $\Rightarrow \qquad \gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) A, [A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C,...]$ distorted-wave impulse approximation

Introduction

♦ photoproduction $\gamma p \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ ⇒ $\gamma^* p \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ Regge model, at low W & Q²
pQCD model, at high W & Q²

production off nuclear targets $\Rightarrow \qquad \gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) A, [A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C,...]$ distorted-wave impulse approximation

 \diamond These reactions are a rich source of information on

soft and hard diffractive processes as well as the hadronic properties of the virtual photon.

Introduction

♦ photoproduction $\gamma p \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ ⇒ $\gamma^* p \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ Regge model, at low W & Q²
pQCD model, at high W & Q²

production off nuclear targets $\Rightarrow \qquad \gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) A, [A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C,...]$ distorted-wave impulse approximation

♦ These reactions are a rich source of information on soft and hard diffractive processes as well as the hadronic properties of the virtual photon.

 Approved 12 GeV era experiments to date at Jafferson Labarotory: [E12-09-003] Nucleon Resonances Studies with CLAS
 [E12-11-002] Proton Recoil Polarization in the ⁴He(e,e'p)³H, ²He(e,e'p)n, ¹He(e,e'p)
 [E12-11-005] Meson spectroscopy with low Q² electron scattering in CLAS12
 [E12-12-006] Near Threshold Electroproduction of J/ψ at 11 GeV
 [E12-12-007] Exclusive Phi Meson Electroproduction with CLAS12

 \diamond Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will carry out the relevant experiments in the future.

 $\gamma^{(*)} p \rightarrow V p$ reaction plane Decay plane (Vat rest) $\left\langle \theta_{\rm c.m.} \right\rangle$ p

Electron plane (lab) Production plane (c.m.)

□ Photon(γ) polarization vector Transverse comp. (λ_{γ} =±1) [photo-, electro-] Longitudinal comp. (λ_{γ} =0) [electro-]

 $\rightarrow spin-density matrices (\rho_{ij}) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \rightarrow decay angular distributions (W) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow \sigma$, d σ /d Ω , d σ /dt

[photo-, electro-]

 $\gamma^{(*)} p \rightarrow V p$ reaction plane



Electron plane (lab) Production plane (c.m.)

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} $$ \square Photon(\gamma) polarization vector \\ $$ Transverse comp. ($\lambda_{\gamma}=\pm1$) [photo-, electro-] \\ $$ Longitudinal comp. ($\lambda_{\gamma}=0$) [electro-] \\ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow spin-density matrices (\rho_{ij}) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \rightarrow decay angular distributions (W) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow \sigma, d\sigma/d\Omega, d\sigma/dt$ $\rightarrow \sigma_{T}, \sigma_{L}, \sigma_{T}, \sigma_{L}, R=\sigma_{L}/\sigma_{T} \dots$ (T-L separated cross sections)

[[]photo-, electro-] [electro-]

 reaction

 plane

 Decay plane (V at rest)

 V

 V

 V

 V

 e

 V

 e

 V

 e

 V

 V

 V

 e

 V

 V

 P

 $\gamma^{(*)} p \rightarrow V p$

Electron plane (lab) Pro

Production plane (c.m.)

Decay frame



Adair frame

Helicty frame: in favor of s-channel helicity conservation (SCHC)

Gottfried-Jackson frame: in favor of t-channel helicity conservation (TCHC)

 $\rightarrow spin-density matrices (\rho_{ij}) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \rightarrow decay angular distributions (W) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow \sigma, d\sigma/d\Omega, d\sigma/dt$ $\rightarrow \sigma_T, \sigma_L, \sigma_T, \sigma_L, R=\sigma_L/\sigma_T ...$ (T-L separated cross sections)

[photo-, electro-] [electro-]

$\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$

theoretical framework



$\gamma^* \: p \to V(\rho, \: \omega, \: \phi, \: J/\psi) \: p$

theoretical framework



 Extending to "the virtual-photon sector" opens the way
 > to tune hadronic component of the exchanged photon
 > to explore to what extent meson exchange survives
 > to observe hard-scattering mechanisms, with a second hard scale, "photon virtuality -(ke-ke')²=Q²".

$\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$

theoretical framework



$\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$



[Kinematical range covered by vector meson electoproduction experiments]

□ We can test which of the two descriptions - with "hadronic" or "quark" degrees of freedom - applies in the considered kinematical domain.

$\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$



□ We can test which of the two descriptions - with "hadronic" or "quark" degrees of freedom - applies in the considered kinematical domain.

□ At low photon virtualities ($Q^2 \leq Mv^2$) and low energies ($W \leq$ several GeV), our hadronic effective model is applicable.

$\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$



[Kinematical range covered by vector meson electoproduction experiments]

□ The upcoming data from Jefferson Laboratory are particularly promising because they cover wide kinematical ranges of Q² and x_B and thus provide a unique opportunity to test the two models.

Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will carry out the relevant experiments in the future.





80

□ high energy:

The two-gluon exchange is

simplified by the Donnachie-Landshoff (DL) model which suggests that

the Pomeron couples to the nucleon like

a C = +1 isoscalar photon and its coupling is described in terms of $F_N(t)$.

[Pomeron Physics and QCD (Cambridge University, 2002)]

□ low energy:

We need to clarify the reaction mechanism.

[Exp: CLAS, Dey, PRC.89. 055208 (2014) CLAS, Seraydaryan, PRC.89.055206 (2014) LEPS, Mizutani, PRC.96.062201 (2017)] $\Box \text{ We focus on } \gamma p \rightarrow \phi p.$

□ high energy



 $\Box \sigma [\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p] \approx \sigma [\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p]$ $\Box F_{N}: isoscalar EM form factor$ of the nucleon

$$F_N(t) = \frac{4M_N^2 - a_N^2 t}{(4M_N^2 - t)(1 - t/t_0)^2}$$

low energy



 $\Box \sigma[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p] << \sigma[\gamma p \rightarrow (\rho, \omega)p]$ due to the OZI rule

Exclusive photoproduction of vector mesons [results]

Born term

total cross section



□ Our Pomeron model describes the high energy regions quite well.

Exclusive photoproduction of vector mesons [results]



differential cross sections $[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p]$

Born term

□ Forward: Pomeron exchange

 \square Backward: mesons, nucleon, N^* exchanges

play crucial roles.

[Exp: CLAS, Dey, PRC.89. 055208 (2014)]

11

spin-density matrices



Definition



 \Box λ , λ' : Helicity states of the vector-meson

Helicity

 \Box For a *t*-channel exchange of X, the momentum of γ and V is collinear in the GJ frame.

Thus, the $\rho i j^k$ elements measure the degree of helicity flip due to the *t*-channel exchange of X in the GJ frame.

c.m. frame

V rest frame

Gottfried-Jackson

spin-density matrices

Decay frame



V rest frame Adair frame Helicty frame Gottfried-Jackson frame

Definition

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{0} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \\ \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \\ \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{2} &= \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \lambda_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \\ \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{3} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \lambda_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \end{split}$$

 Single helicity-flip transition between γ & V

 $\left|
ho_{00}^0 \propto \left| \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{\gamma=1},\lambda_{\phi=0}} \right|^2 + \left| \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{\gamma=-1},\lambda_{\phi=0}} \right|^2$

$$-\mathrm{Im}[\rho_{1-1}^2] \approx \rho_{1-1}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^N - \sigma^U}{\sigma^N + \sigma^U}$$

Relative contribution
 between Natural & Unnatural
 parity exchanges

Convert into other frames by applying Wigner rotations:

Gottfried-Jackson

р

c.m. frame

Helicity

$$\alpha_{A \to H} = \theta_{c.m.},$$

$$\alpha_{H \to GJ} = -\cos^{-1} \left(\frac{v - \cos \theta_{c.m.}}{v \cos \theta_{c.m.} - 1} \right)$$

$$\alpha_{A \to GJ} = \alpha_{A \to H} + \alpha_{H \to GJ}$$

V rest frame

v : The velocity of the K meson in the ϕ rest frame ($\phi \rightarrow K\overline{K}$ decay)

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V p$ reaction plane Decay plane (Vat rest) \bullet $\theta_{\rm c.m.}$ p

Electron plane (lab) Production plane (c.m.)

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} $$ \square Photon(\gamma) polarization vector \\ $$ Transverse comp. ($\lambda_{\gamma}=\pm1$) [photo-, electro-] \\ $$ Longitudinal comp. ($\lambda_{\gamma}=0$) [electro-] \\ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow spin-density matrices (\rho_{ij}) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \rightarrow decay angular distributions (W) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow \sigma$, d σ /d Ω , d σ /dt $\rightarrow \sigma$ T, σ L, σ TT, σ LT, R= σ L/ σ T ... (T-L separated cross sections)

[photo-, electro-] [electro-]

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V p$ reaction plane Decay plane (Vat rest) \bullet $\theta_{\rm c.m.}$ p

Electron plane (lab) Production plane (c.m.)

□ Photon(γ) polarization vector Transverse comp. (λ_{γ} =±1) [photo-, electro-] Longitudinal comp. (λ_{γ} =0) [electro-]

 $\rightarrow spin-density matrices (\rho_{ij}) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \rightarrow decay angular distributions (W) \qquad [photo-, electro-] \\ \end{tabular}$

 $\rightarrow \sigma, d\sigma/d\Omega, d\sigma/dt$ $\rightarrow \sigma_{T}, \sigma_{L}, \sigma_{T}, \sigma_{L}, R=\sigma_{L}/\sigma_{T} \dots$ (T-L separated cross sections)

[photo-, electro-] [electro-]

total cross section

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\rm T} + \varepsilon \sigma_{\rm L} \qquad \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Big(\sigma + \varepsilon \sigma_{\rm TT} \cos 2\Phi + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} \sigma_{\rm LT} \cos \Phi \Big)$$

ε: Virtual-photon polarization parameter

unpolarized cross sections



ε: Virtual-photon polarization parameter

Exp: [CLAS] PRC.63.065205 (2001), PRC.78.025210 (2008) [Cornell] PRD.24.2787 (1981) [HERMES] ActaPhys.Pol.B.31.2353 (2000)



□ The Q² dependence of the cross sections is well described. □ The agreement with the exp. data is good at the real photon limit Q²=0.

unpolarized cross sections

Exp: [CLAS] PRC.78.025210 (2008) [Cornell] PRL.39.516 (1977), PRD.19.3185 (1979)



ε: Virtual-photon polarization parameter



□ The Q² dependence of the cross sections is well described. □ The agreement with the exp. data is good at the real photon limit Q²=0.



[Exp: CLAS, Santoro, PRC.78.025210 (2008)]

Pomeron and S-meson exchanges dominate transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) cross sections, respectively.



[Exp: CLAS, Santoro, PRC.78.025210 (2008)]

Pomeron and S-meson exchanges dominate transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) cross sections, respectively.

a-3
$$\gamma^* p \rightarrow \varphi p$$

Pomeron

S (ao,fo)

PS (π,η)

AV (f1)

total



[Exp: CLAS, Santoro, PRC.78.025210 (2008)]

□ The signs of Pomeron and meson contributions are opposite to each other. □ σ TT and σ LT become zero as W and Q² increases, indicating SCHC.

a-4
$$\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$$

Pomeron

S (ao,fo)

PS (π,η)

AV (f1)

total





 \Box By definition, if SCHC holds, $r_{ij}^{k} = 0$, $r_{ij}^{k} \neq 0$.

a-5 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$







a-5 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$

19





□ The relative contributions of different meson exchanges are verified. □ SCHC seems to hold.
 □ Our hadronic approach is very successful for describing the data at Q² = (0-4) GeV², W = (2-5) GeV, t = (0-2) GeV².

a-5 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$

spin-density matrix elements (r_{ij}^{k}) at W ~ 100 GeV



 $r_{ij}^{04} = \frac{\rho_{ij}^0 + \varepsilon R \rho_{ij}^4}{1 + \varepsilon R},$ $r_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{\rho_{ij}^\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (0 - 3),$ $r_{ij}^\alpha = \sqrt{R} \frac{\rho_{ij}^\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (5 - 8)$

a-6 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$

spin-density matrix elements (r_{ij}^{k}) at W ~ 100 GeV



a-6
$$\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$$

- □ By definition, if SCHC holds, $r_{ij^k} = 0$, $r_{ij^k} \neq 0$.
- □ A small but significant violation of SCHC is found from the H1 data.
- A Pomeron, represented by the hard two-gluon exchange, can reproduce the main features of the HERA data for hard diffraction.

 We need more complete reaction theories to describe the HERA data.

²¹

 \diamond Hard exclusive diffractive processes are the source of valuable information on the origin of small *x* processes, i.e., on the origin of the phenomenon known as Pomeron.

 \diamond Hard exclusive diffractive processes are the source of valuable information on the origin of small *x* processes, i.e., on the origin of the phenomenon known as Pomeron.

Collaboration with T.-S.H.Lee (Argonne Natl. Lab.) and S.Sakinah (Kyungpook Natl. Univ.) We have various theoretical tools to deal with the Pomeron exchange mechanism. [T.-S.H.Lee, S.Sakinah, Yongseok Oh, EPJA.58.252(2022)]

 \square Non-perturbative approach

- Donnachie and Landshoff (Pom-DL) [NPB.244.322 (1983)]
- Its extension to include V-N potential extracted from LQCD (Pom-pot) [T.-S.H.Lee, arXiv:2004.13934]
- Constituent quark model (CQM) to account for the quark substructure of V (Pom-CQM) [T.-S.H.Lee]

□ Perturbative QCD approach

- ► Two-gluon exchange using the GPD of the nucleon (GPD-based) [T.-S.H.Lee]
- ► Two- & three-gluon exchanges using the parton distribution of the nucleon (2g+3g) [Brodsky, PLB.498.23 (2001)]
- Exchanges of scalar & tensor glueballs within the holographic formulation (holog) [Mamo, PRD.104.066023 (2021)]

[EIC Yellow Report] 7.1.6. Inclusive and hard diffraction Inclusive diffraction

Inclusive diffraction has been extensively studied at HERA.
 There are number of areas where the EIC can significantly expand our knowledge of QCD diffraction.



[EIC Yellow Report] 7.1.6. Inclusive and hard diffraction Inclusive diffraction

Inclusive diffraction has been extensively studied at HERA.
 There are number of areas where the EIC can significantly expand our knowledge of QCD diffraction.



First, thanks to the instrumentation in forward Region, EIC will be able to measure leading protons in a much wider range of t and x_L than at HERA.



[EIC Yellow Report] 7.1.6. Inclusive and hard diffraction Inclusive diffraction

Inclusive diffraction has been extensively studied at HERA.
 There are number of areas where the EIC can significantly expand our knowledge of QCD diffraction.



First, thanks to the instrumentation in forward Region,EIC has the potential toEIC will be able to measure leading protons in ato disentangle the two cmuch wider range of t and x_L than at HERA.EIC will provide excelled



The **second** area where EIC could provide valuable information are the Pomeron & Reggeon contributions. At HERA, the *t*-dep. of the Reggeon contribution could not be tested at all.

EIC has the potential to explore the region ($\zeta > 0.1$) to disentangle the two components. EIC will provide excellent opportunities to perform precise measurements of the longitudinal diffractive SF.



[EIC Yellow Report] 7.4.5. New particle production mechanisms

 \Box Odderon exchange, *u*-Channel exclusive meson electroproduction ...





(left) Soft-hard-soft structure transition(right) Forward-backward factorization scheme

[EIC Yellow Report] 7.4.5. New particle production mechanisms

 \Box Odderon exchange, *u*-Channel exclusive meson electroproduction ...



Combining the data collected at JLab 12 GeV and EIC, we aim to accomplish the following objectives to unveil the complete physics meaning of *u*-channel interactions:

- At low Q² limit: Q² < 2 GeV², mapping out the W dependence for electroproduction of all mesons at near-backward kinematics.
- Extracting the *u*-dependence ($\sigma \propto e^{-b \cdot u}$) as a function of Q^2 . This could be used to study the transition from a "soft" Regge-exchange type picture (transverse size of interaction is of order of the hadronic size) to the "hard" QCD regime.
- Studying the model effectiveness between the hadronic Regge based (exchanges of mesons and baryons) and the partonic description through Transition Distribution Amplitudes (exchanges of quarks and gluons), is equivalent to studying the non-perturbative to perturbative QCD transition.



(left) Soft-hard-soft structure transition(right) Forward-backward factorization scheme





$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma}=\pm 1} \overline{|\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})}|^{2}},$$
$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}}{dt} = \overline{|\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma}=0)}|^{2}},$$
$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma}=\pm 1} \overline{\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})} \mathcal{M}^{(-\lambda_{\gamma})^{*}}},$$
$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{LT}}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma}=\pm 1} \lambda_{\gamma} (\overline{\mathcal{M}^{(0)} \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})^{*}}} + \overline{\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})} \mathcal{M}^{(0)^{*}}})$$

b-1 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho(770) p$

[Exp: CLAS, Morrow, EPJA.39.5 (2009)]

 \Box If SCHC holds, σ TT and σ LT become zero.

• Pomeron > meson-exchange ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$) Pomeron < meson-exchange ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p, \omega p$)

٨



ь-2
$$\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho(770) p$$



[[]Exp: CLAS, Morrow, EPJA.39.5 (2009)]

□ It is difficult to draw a firm conclusion concerning SCHC although most physical observables seem to support SCHC.

T-L separated cross sections at low W



[Exp: CLAS, Morrow, EPJA.39.5 (2009)]

 \Box If SCHC holds, σ TT and σ LT become zero.

• Pomeron > meson-exchange ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$) Pomeron < meson-exchange ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p, \omega p$)

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1} \overline{|\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})}|^{2}},$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}}{dt} = \overline{|\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma} = 0)}|^{2}},$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1} \overline{\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})} \mathcal{M}^{(-\lambda_{\gamma})^{*}}},$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{LT}}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1} \lambda_{\gamma} (\overline{\mathcal{M}^{(0)} \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})^{*}}} + \overline{\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})} \mathcal{M}^{(0)^{*}}})$$

Regge-based model [Laget, PRD.70.054023 (2004)]

ο σπ

c-1 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega(782) p$

spin-density matrix elements (r_{ij}^{k}) at low W



$$r_{ij}^{04} = \frac{\rho_{ij}^0 + \varepsilon R \rho_{ij}^4}{1 + \varepsilon R},$$

$$r_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{\rho_{ij}^\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (0 - 3),$$

$$r_{ij}^\alpha = \sqrt{R} \frac{\rho_{ij}^\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (5 - 8)$$

c-2 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega(782) p$

28

spin-density matrix elements (r_{ij}^{k}) at low W



$$r_{ij}^{04} = \frac{\rho_{ij}^0 + \varepsilon R \rho_{ij}^4}{1 + \varepsilon R},$$

$$r_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{\rho_{ij}^\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (0 - 3),$$

$$r_{ij}^\alpha = \sqrt{R} \frac{\rho_{ij}^\alpha}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (5 - 8)$$

□ Theoretical studies on $\gamma^* p \rightarrow (\rho, \omega) p$ at low Q² and W are very rare. We need further investigation.

c-2 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega(782) p$

Summary & Future work

 ◇ For γ p → φ p & γ^{*} p → φ p, we studied the relative contributions between the Pomeron and various meson exchanges.
 The light-meson (π, η, ao, fo,...) contribution is crucial to describe the data at low W & Q².

 \diamond For $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V p$, from the data of separated cross sections (σ_{TT} , σ_{LT}) and SDMEs (r_{ij}^k), we can test whether helicity is conserved or not in three different frames.

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \varphi p$: SCHC is conserved (low W & Q²), is broken (high W & Q² at HERA). $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p$: SCHC seems to hold (low W & Q²). $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega p$: SCHC is broken (low W & Q²).

♦ Extension to $\gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow V[\phi, J/\psi, \Upsilon(1S)] A$, $[A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C,...]$ $\gamma {}^{4}He \rightarrow \phi {}^{4}He$ [S.H.Kim, T.S.H.Lee, S.i.Nam, Y. Oh, PRC.104.045202 (2021)]

> A distorted-wave impulse approximation within the multiple scattering formulation

 \diamond We plan to employ various Pomeron models to the soft and hard diffractive processes.

♦ Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will carry out the relevant experiments in the future.

Summary & Future work

 ◇ For γ p → φ p & γ^{*} p → φ p, we studied the relative contributions between the Pomeron and various meson exchanges.
 The light-meson (π, η, ao, fo,...) contribution is crucial to describe the data at low W & Q².

 \diamond For $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V p$, from the data of separated cross sections (σ_{TT} , σ_{LT}) and SDMEs (r_{ij}^k), we can test whether helicity is conserved or not in three different frames.

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \varphi p$: SCHC is conserved (low W & Q²), is broken (high W & Q² at HERA). $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p$: SCHC seems to hold (low W & Q²). $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega p$: SCHC is broken (low W & Q²).

♦ Extension to $\gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow V[\phi, J/\psi, \Upsilon(1S)] A$, $[A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C,...]$ $\gamma {}^{4}He \rightarrow \phi {}^{4}He$ [S.H.Kim, T.S.H.Lee, S.i.Nam, Y. Oh, PRC.104.045202 (2021)]

> A distorted-wave impulse approximation within the multiple scattering formulation

 \diamond We plan to employ various Pomeron models to the soft and hard diffractive processes.

♦ Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will carry out the relevant experiments in the future.

Thank you very much for your attention