# Pion structure within a dynamical model in Minkowski space.

Wayne de Paula

Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica - Brasil

Collaborators E. Ydrefors (IMP/Lanzhou), D. Duarte (UFSM), JH. de Alvarenga Noronha, T. Frederico (ITA) and G. Salmè (INFN/Roma)

Light Cone 2022 Online: Physics of Hadrons on the Light Front



# Summary

Pion as a quark-antiquark bound state

- 2 PDF, LF Momentum Distributions and Valence probability
- Operation of the second sec
- 4 Electromagnetic Form Factor
  - 5 Transverse Momentum Distributions
- 6 Conclusions and Perspectives

Bound state: Solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Bound state: Solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Most non-perturbative methods are formulated in Euclidean space

Bound state: Solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Most non-perturbative methods are formulated in Euclidean space

Wick Rotation: We have to be care with the presence of singulatiries Our challenge is to work with a non-perturbative framework in Minkowski Space in order to access hadron structure observables defined on the light-front.

Bound state: Solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Most non-perturbative methods are formulated in Euclidean space

Wick Rotation: We have to be care with the presence of singulatiries Our challenge is to work with a non-perturbative framework in Minkowski Space in order to access hadron structure observables defined on the light-front.

Minkowski solutions: Bethe-Salpeter

Bound state: Solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation

Most non-perturbative methods are formulated in Euclidean space

Wick Rotation: We have to be care with the presence of singulatiries Our challenge is to work with a non-perturbative framework in Minkowski Space in order to access hadron structure observables defined on the light-front.

Minkowski solutions: Bethe-Salpeter

Tool: Integral representation

Bethe-Salpeter equation  $(0^-)$ :

Bethe-Salpeter equation  $(0^-)$ :



Bethe-Salpeter equation (0<sup>-</sup>):

$$\Phi(k;P) = S\left(k+\frac{P}{2}\right) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k';P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S\left(k-\frac{P}{2}\right)$$
$$\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) = C \Gamma_{\nu}(q) C^{-1}$$

where we use: i) bare propagators for the quarks and gluons; ii) ladder approximation

$$S(P) = \frac{i}{\not P - m + i\epsilon} , \ S^{\mu\nu}(q) = -i \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} , \ \Gamma^{\mu} = ig \frac{\mu^2 - \Lambda^2}{q^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma^{\mu} ,$$

Bethe-Salpeter equation (0<sup>-</sup>):  $\Phi(k; P) = S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\mu}(q) F(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ 

$$\begin{split} p(k;P) &= S\left(k+\frac{P}{2}\right) \int \frac{d^{\prime}\kappa}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k';P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S\left(k-\frac{P}{2}\right) \\ \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) &= C \Gamma_{\nu}(q) C^{-1} \end{split}$$

where we use: i) bare propagators for the quarks and gluons; ii) ladder approximation  $S(P) = \frac{i}{P - m + i\epsilon} , \ S^{\mu\nu}(q) = -i \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} , \ \Gamma^{\mu} = ig \frac{\mu^2 - \Lambda^2}{q^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma^{\mu} ,$ 

We consider only one of the Longitudinal components of the QGV

Bethe-Salpeter equation (0<sup>-</sup>):  $\Phi(k; P) = S(k + \frac{P}{2}) \int \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k'; P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S(k)$ 

$$\begin{split} \rho(k;P) &= S\left(k+\frac{P}{2}\right) \int \frac{d\kappa}{(2\pi)^4} S^{\mu\nu}(q) \Gamma_{\mu}(q) \Phi(k';P) \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) S\left(k-\frac{P}{2}\right) \\ \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu}(q) &= C \Gamma_{\nu}(q) C^{-1} \end{split}$$

where we use: i) bare propagators for the quarks and gluons;  
ii) ladder approximation  

$$S(P) = \frac{i}{\not\!\!\!P - m + i\epsilon} , \ S^{\mu\nu}(q) = -i \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{q^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon} , \ \Gamma^{\mu} = ig \frac{\mu^2 - \Lambda^2}{q^2 - \Lambda^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma^{\mu} ,$$

We consider only one of the Longitudinal components of the  $\mathsf{QGV}$ 

We set the value of the scale parameter (300 MeV) from the combined analysis of Lattice simulations , the Quark-Gap Equation and Slanov-Taylor identity.

Oliveira, WP, Frederico, de Melo EPJC 78(7), 553 (2018) & EPJC 79 (2019) 116 & EPJC 80 (2020) 484

BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:





BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



Dirac structures given by

BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



Dirac structures given by

$$S_{1}(k;P) = \gamma_{5}, \ S_{2}(k;P) = \frac{\cancel{P}}{M}\gamma_{5}, \ S_{3}(k;P) = \frac{k \cdot P}{M^{3}} \cancel{P}\gamma_{5} - \frac{1}{M} \cancel{k}\gamma_{5}, \ S_{4}(k;P) = \frac{i}{M^{2}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu} k_{\nu} \gamma_{5}$$

BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



Dirac structures given by

$$S_{1}(k;P) = \gamma_{5}, \ S_{2}(k;P) = \frac{P}{M}\gamma_{5}, \ S_{3}(k;P) = \frac{k \cdot P}{M^{3}}P\gamma_{5} - \frac{1}{M}k\gamma_{5}, \ S_{4}(k;P) = \frac{i}{M^{2}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu}k_{\nu}\gamma_{5}$$

Using the Nakanishi Integral Representation for the scalar functions

BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



Dirac structures given by

$$S_{1}(k;P) = \gamma_{5}, \ S_{2}(k;P) = \frac{H}{M}\gamma_{5}, \ S_{3}(k;P) = \frac{k \cdot P}{M^{3}}H\gamma_{5} - \frac{1}{M}k\gamma_{5}, \ S_{4}(k;P) = \frac{i}{M^{2}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu}k_{\nu}\gamma_{5}$$

Using the Nakanishi Integral Representation for the scalar functions

$$\phi_i(k; P) = \int_{-1}^1 dz' \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma', z'; \kappa^2)}{[k^2 + z'(P \cdot k) - \gamma' - \kappa^2 + i\epsilon]^3}$$

BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



Dirac structures given by

$$S_{1}(k;P) = \gamma_{5}, \ S_{2}(k;P) = \frac{H}{M}\gamma_{5}, \ S_{3}(k;P) = \frac{k \cdot P}{M^{3}}H\gamma_{5} - \frac{1}{M}k\gamma_{5}, \ S_{4}(k;P) = \frac{i}{M^{2}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu}k_{\nu}\gamma_{5}$$

Using the Nakanishi Integral Representation for the scalar functions

$$\phi_i(k; P) = \int_{-1}^1 dz' \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma', z'; \kappa^2)}{[k^2 + z'(P \cdot k) - \gamma' - \kappa^2 + i\epsilon]^3}$$

System of coupled integral equations

BSA for a quark-antiquark bound state:



Dirac structures given by

$$S_{1}(k;P) = \gamma_{5}, \ S_{2}(k;P) = \frac{\eta}{M}\gamma_{5}, \ S_{3}(k;P) = \frac{k \cdot P}{M^{3}}\eta\gamma_{5} - \frac{1}{M}k\gamma_{5}, \ S_{4}(k;P) = \frac{i}{M^{2}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_{\mu}k_{\nu}\gamma_{5}$$

Using the Nakanishi Integral Representation for the scalar functions

$$\phi_i(k; P) = \int_{-1}^1 dz' \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma', z'; \kappa^2)}{[k^2 + z'(P \cdot k) - \gamma' - \kappa^2 + i\epsilon]^3}$$

System of coupled integral equations

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dz' \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma', z')}{[k^2 + z'p \cdot k - \gamma' - \kappa^2 + i\epsilon]^3} = \sum_{j} \int_{-1}^{1} dz' \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma' \ \mathcal{K}_{ij}(k, p; \gamma', z') \ g_j(\gamma', z')$$

Light-Front variables:  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, \vec{x}_{\perp})$ 

LF-time 
$$x^+ = x^0 + x^3$$
  
 $x^- = x^0 - x^3$   
 $\vec{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ 



Light-Front variables:  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, \vec{x}_{\perp})$ 

LF-time 
$$x^+ = x^0 + x^3$$
  
 $x^- = x^0 - x^3$   
 $\vec{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ 



Within the LF framework, the valence wf is obtained by integrating the BSA on k- (elimination of the relative LF time).

Light-Front variables:  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, \vec{x}_{\perp})$ 

LF-time 
$$x^+ = x^0 + x^3$$
  
 $x^- = x^0 - x^3$   
 $\vec{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ 



Within the LF framework, the valence wf is obtained by integrating the BSA on k- (elimination of the relative LF time).

LF amplitudes

Light-Front variables:  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, \vec{x}_{\perp})$ 

LF-time 
$$x^+ = x^0 + x^3$$
  
 $x^- = x^0 - x^3$   
 $\vec{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ 



Within the LF framework, the valence wf is obtained by integrating the BSA on k- (elimination of the relative LF time).

LF amplitudes

$$\psi_i(\gamma,\xi) = \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \phi_i(k,p) = -\frac{i}{M} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma',z;\kappa^2)}{\left[\gamma + \gamma' + m^2 z^2 + (1-z^2)\kappa^2\right]^2}$$

Light-Front variables:  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, \vec{x}_{\perp})$ 

LF-time 
$$x^+ = x^0 + x^3$$
  
 $x^- = x^0 - x^3$   
 $\vec{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ 



Within the LF framework, the valence wf is obtained by integrating the BSA on k- (elimination of the relative LF time).

LF amplitudes

$$\psi_i(\gamma,\xi) = \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \phi_i(k,p) = -\frac{i}{M} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma',z;\kappa^2)}{\left[\gamma + \gamma' + m^2 z^2 + (1-z^2)\kappa^2\right]^2}$$

The coupled equation system is (NIR+LF, Karmanov & Carbonell 2010)

Light-Front variables:  $x^{\mu} = (x^+, x^-, \vec{x}_{\perp})$ 

LF-time 
$$x^+ = x^0 + x^3$$
  
 $x^- = x^0 - x^3$   
 $\vec{x}_\perp = (x^1, x^2)$ 



Within the LF framework, the valence wf is obtained by integrating the BSA on k- (elimination of the relative LF time).

LF amplitudes

$$\psi_i(\gamma,\xi) = \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \ \phi_i(k,p) = -\frac{i}{M} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma',z;\kappa^2)}{\left[\gamma + \gamma' + m^2 z^2 + (1-z^2)\kappa^2\right]^2}$$

The coupled equation system is (NIR+LF, Karmanov & Carbonell 2010)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\gamma' g_{i}(\gamma', z; \kappa^{2})}{\left[\gamma + \gamma' + m^{2}z^{2} + (1 - z^{2})\kappa^{2}\right]^{2}} = iMg^{2} \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\gamma' \int_{-1}^{1} dz' \mathcal{L}_{ij}(\gamma, z; \gamma', z') g_{j}(\gamma', z'; \kappa^{2})$$

#### The Kernel contains singular contributions

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

The unpolarized transverve-momentum distribution (uTMD) reads

$$f_1^q(\gamma,\xi) = \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp} \int \frac{dz^- d\mathbf{z}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi p^+ z^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{z}_\perp]} \langle p|\bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{1}{2}z)\gamma^+ \psi_q(\frac{1}{2}z)|p\rangle\big|_{z^+=0}$$

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

The unpolarized transverve-momentum distribution (uTMD) reads

$$f_1^q(\gamma,\xi) = \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp} \int \frac{dz^- d\mathbf{z}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi p^+ z^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{z}_\perp]} \langle p | \bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{1}{2}z) \gamma^+ \psi_q(\frac{1}{2}z) | p \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0}$$

Considering the charge symmetry and Mandelstam framework

$$\begin{split} f_1(\gamma,\xi) &= \frac{f_1^q(\gamma,\xi) + f_1^{\bar{q}}(\gamma,\xi)}{2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk^+ \delta(k^+ + P^+/2 - \xi P^+) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk^- \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi_{\bar{k}_{\perp}} \\ &\times \left\{ Tr \Big[ S^{-1}(k - P/2)\bar{\Phi}(k,P) \; \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \; \Phi(k,P) \Big] - Tr \Big[ S^{-1}(k + P/2)\Phi(k,P) \; \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \; \bar{\Phi}(k,P) \Big] \right\} \end{split}$$

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

The unpolarized transverve-momentum distribution (uTMD) reads

$$f_1^q(\gamma,\xi) = \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp} \int \frac{dz^- d\mathbf{z}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi p^+ z^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{z}_\perp]} \langle p | \bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{1}{2}z) \gamma^+ \psi_q(\frac{1}{2}z) | p \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0}$$

Considering the charge symmetry and Mandelstam framework

$$\begin{split} f_1(\gamma,\xi) &= \frac{f_1^q(\gamma,\xi) + f_1^{\bar{q}}(\gamma,\xi)}{2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk^+ \delta(k^+ + P^+/2 - \xi P^+) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk^- \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi_{\bar{k}_{\perp}} \\ &\times \left\{ Tr \Big[ S^{-1}(k - P/2)\bar{\Phi}(k,P) \; \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \; \Phi(k,P) \Big] - Tr \Big[ S^{-1}(k + P/2)\Phi(k,P) \; \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \; \bar{\Phi}(k,P) \Big] \right\} \end{split}$$

The PDF is the integral over the squared transverse momentum

$$u(\xi) = \int_0^\infty d\gamma \ f_1(\gamma,\xi).$$

The fermionic field on the null-plane is given by:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(+)}(\tilde{x}, x^+ &= 0^+) &= \int \frac{d\tilde{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{\theta(q^+)}{\sqrt{2q^+}} \\ \sum_{\sigma} \Big[ U^{(+)}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) \ b(\tilde{q}, \sigma) e^{i\tilde{q}\cdot\tilde{x}} + V^{(+)}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) \ d^{\dagger}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) e^{-i\tilde{q}\cdot\tilde{x}} \Big] \ , \end{split}$$

where

$$U^{(+)}(\tilde{q},\sigma) = \Lambda^+ u(\tilde{q},\sigma) \ , \quad V^{(+)}(\tilde{q},\sigma) = \Lambda^+ v(\tilde{q},\sigma)$$

Hence,  $d^{\dagger}$  and b are the fermion creation/annihilation operators

The fermionic field on the null-plane is given by:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(+)}(\tilde{x}, x^+ = 0^+) &= \int \frac{d\tilde{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{\theta(q^+)}{\sqrt{2q^+}} \\ &\sum_{\sigma} \Big[ U^{(+)}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) \ b(\tilde{q}, \sigma) e^{i\tilde{q}\cdot\tilde{x}} + V^{(+)}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) \ d^{\dagger}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) e^{-i\tilde{q}\cdot\tilde{x}} \Big] \ , \end{split}$$

where

$$U^{(+)}(\tilde{q},\sigma) = \Lambda^+ u(\tilde{q},\sigma) \ , \quad V^{(+)}(\tilde{q},\sigma) = \Lambda^+ v(\tilde{q},\sigma)$$

Hence,  $d^{\dagger}$  and b are the fermion creation/annihilation operators

The LF valence amplitude is the Fock component with the lowest number of constituents:

The fermionic field on the null-plane is given by:

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(+)}(\tilde{x}, x^+ = 0^+) &= \int \frac{d\tilde{q}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{\theta(q^+)}{\sqrt{2q^+}} \\ &\sum_{\sigma} \Big[ U^{(+)}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) \ b(\tilde{q}, \sigma) e^{i\tilde{q}\cdot\tilde{x}} + V^{(+)}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) \ d^{\dagger}(\tilde{q}, \sigma) e^{-i\tilde{q}\cdot\tilde{x}} \Big] \ , \end{split}$$

where

$$U^{(+)}(\tilde{q},\sigma) = \Lambda^+ u(\tilde{q},\sigma) \ , \quad V^{(+)}(\tilde{q},\sigma) = \Lambda^+ v(\tilde{q},\sigma)$$

Hence,  $d^{\dagger}$  and b are the fermion creation/annihilation operators

The LF valence amplitude is the Fock component with the lowest number of constituents:

$$\varphi_2(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \sigma_i; M, J^{\pi}, J_z) = (2\pi)^3 \sqrt{N_c} 2p^+ \sqrt{\xi(1-\xi)} \langle 0|b(\tilde{q}_2, \sigma_2) \ d(\tilde{q}_1, \sigma_1)|\tilde{p}, M, J^{\pi}, J_z \rangle ,$$

where  $\tilde{q}_1 \equiv \{q_1^+ = M(1-\xi), -\mathbf{k}_\perp\}, \ \tilde{q}_2 \equiv \{q_2^+ = M\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp\} \ \text{and} \ \xi = 1/2 + k^+/\rho^+.$
LF valence amplitude in terms of BS amplitude is:

$$\varphi_2(\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \sigma_i; M, J^\pi, J_z) \quad = \quad \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{p^+} \frac{1}{4} \, \bar{\nu}_\alpha(\tilde{q}_2, \sigma_2) \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \left[ \gamma^+ \, \Phi(k, p) \, \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \, v_\beta(\tilde{q}_1, \sigma_1) \ .$$

LF valence amplitude in terms of BS amplitude is:

$$\varphi_2(\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \sigma_i; M, J^\pi, J_z) \quad = \quad \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{p^+} \frac{1}{4} \, \bar{u}_\alpha(\tilde{q}_2, \sigma_2) \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \left[ \gamma^+ \, \Phi(k, p) \, \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \, v_\beta(\tilde{q}_1, \sigma_1) \ .$$

which can be decomposed into two spin contributions:

LF valence amplitude in terms of BS amplitude is:

$$\varphi_2(\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \sigma_i; \mathbf{M}, J^\pi, J_z) \quad = \quad \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{p^+} \frac{1}{4} \, \bar{u}_\alpha(\tilde{q}_2, \sigma_2) \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \left[ \gamma^+ \, \Phi(k, p) \, \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \, v_\beta(\tilde{q}_1, \sigma_1) \ .$$

which can be decomposed into two spin contributions: Anti-aligned configuration:

$$\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z) = \psi_2(\gamma,z) + \frac{z}{2}\psi_3(\gamma,\xi) + \frac{i}{M^3} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{\partial g_3(\gamma',z)/\partial z}{\gamma + \gamma' + z^2m^2 + (1-z^2)\kappa^2}$$

LF valence amplitude in terms of BS amplitude is:

$$\varphi_2(\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \sigma_i; \mathbf{M}, J^\pi, J_z) \quad = \quad \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{p^+} \frac{1}{4} \, \bar{u}_\alpha(\tilde{q}_2, \sigma_2) \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \left[ \gamma^+ \, \Phi(k, p) \, \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \, v_\beta(\tilde{q}_1, \sigma_1) \quad .$$

which can be decomposed into two spin contributions: Anti-aligned configuration:

$$\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z) = \psi_2(\gamma,z) + \frac{z}{2}\psi_3(\gamma,\xi) + \frac{i}{M^3} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{\partial g_3(\gamma',z)/\partial z}{\gamma + \gamma' + z^2m^2 + (1-z^2)\kappa^2}$$

Aligned configuration:

$$\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma,z) = \psi_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{M} \psi_4(\gamma,z)$$

LF valence amplitude in terms of BS amplitude is:

$$\varphi_2(\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \sigma_i; \mathbf{M}, J^\pi, J_z) \quad = \quad \frac{\sqrt{N_c}}{p^+} \frac{1}{4} \, \bar{u}_\alpha(\tilde{q}_2, \sigma_2) \int \frac{dk^-}{2\pi} \left[ \gamma^+ \, \Phi(k, p) \, \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \, v_\beta(\tilde{q}_1, \sigma_1) \ .$$

which can be decomposed into two spin contributions: Anti-aligned configuration:

$$\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z) = \psi_2(\gamma,z) + \frac{z}{2}\psi_3(\gamma,\xi) + \frac{i}{M^3} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{\partial g_3(\gamma',z)/\partial z}{\gamma + \gamma' + z^2m^2 + (1-z^2)\kappa^2}$$

Aligned configuration:

$$\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma,z) = \psi_{\downarrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{M} \psi_4(\gamma,z)$$

with the LF amplitudes given by

$$\psi_i(\gamma, z) = -\frac{i}{M} \int_0^\infty d\gamma' \frac{g_i(\gamma', z)}{[\gamma + \gamma' + m^2 z^2 + (1 - z^2)\kappa^2]^2}$$

The Fock expansion allows to restore a probabilistic framework. The Valence Probability is:

$$P_{\mathsf{val}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dz}{(1-z^2)} \int d\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \Big| \varphi_{n=2}(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \sigma_i; M, J^{\pi}, J_z) \Big|^2$$

The Fock expansion allows to restore a probabilistic framework. The Valence Probability is:

$$P_{val} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dz}{(1-z^2)} \int d\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \Big| \varphi_{n=2}(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \sigma_i; M, J^{\pi}, J_z) \Big|^2$$

In terms of the aligned and anti-aligned LFWF, we have

$$P_{\mathsf{val}} = \int_{-1}^{1} dz \int_{0}^{\infty} rac{d\gamma}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ |\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma, z)|^2 + |\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma, z)|^2 
ight],$$

The Fock expansion allows to restore a probabilistic framework. The Valence Probability is:

$$P_{val} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dz}{(1-z^2)} \int d\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \Big| \varphi_{n=2}(\xi, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}, \sigma_i; M, J^{\pi}, J_z) \Big|^2$$

In terms of the aligned and anti-aligned LFWF, we have

$${\cal P}_{{\it val}} = \int_{-1}^1 dz \int_0^\infty {d\gamma \over (4\pi)^2} \, \left[ |\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z)|^2 + |\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma,z)|^2 
ight],$$

The contribution to the PDF from the LF-valence WF is

$$u_{val}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty rac{d\gamma}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ |\psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(\gamma,z)|^2 + |\psi_{\uparrow\uparrow}(\gamma,z)|^2 
ight]$$

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).

Set	m (MeV)	B/m	µ/m	$\Lambda/m$	Pval	$P_{\uparrow\downarrow}$	$P_{\uparrow\uparrow}$	$f_{\pi}$ (MeV)
I	187	1.25	0.15	2	0.64	0.55	0.09	77
II	255	1.45	1.5	1	0.65	0.55	0.10	112
III	255	1.45	2	1	0.66	0.56	0.11	117
IV	215	1.35	2	1	0.67	0.57	0.11	98
V	187	1.25	2	1	0.67	0.56	0.11	84
VI	255	1.45	2.5	1	0.68	0.56	0.11	122
VII	255	1.45	2.5	1.1	0.69	0.56	0.12	127
VIII	255	1.45	2.5	1.2	0.70	0.57	0.13	130
IX	255	1.45	1	2	0.70	0.57	0.14	134
Х	215	1.35	1	2	0.71	0.57	0.14	112
XI	187	1.25	1	2	0.71	0.58	0.14	96

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).

Set	m (MeV)	B/m	µ/m	$\Lambda/m$	Pval	$P_{\uparrow\downarrow}$	$P_{\uparrow\uparrow}$	$f_{\pi}$ (MeV)
Ι	187	1.25	0.15	2	0.64	0.55	0.09	77
II	255	1.45	1.5	1	0.65	0.55	0.10	112
III	255	1.45	2	1	0.66	0.56	0.11	117
IV	215	1.35	2	1	0.67	0.57	0.11	98
V	187	1.25	2	1	0.67	0.56	0.11	84
VI	255	1.45	2.5	1	0.68	0.56	0.11	122
VII	255	1.45	2.5	1.1	0.69	0.56	0.12	127
VIII	255	1.45	2.5	1.2	0.70	0.57	0.13	130
IX	255	1.45	1	2	0.70	0.57	0.14	134
Х	215	1.35	1	2	0.71	0.57	0.14	112
XI	187	1.25	1	2	0.71	0.58	0.14	96

The set VIII reproduces the pion decay constant

 $m_q = 255 \, {
m MeV}$ ,  $m_g = 637.5 \, {
m MeV}$  and  $\Lambda = 306 \, {
m MeV}$ 

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).

Set	m (MeV)	B/m	µ/m	$\Lambda/m$	Pval	$P_{\uparrow\downarrow}$	$P_{\uparrow\uparrow}$	$f_{\pi}$ (MeV)
Ι	187	1.25	0.15	2	0.64	0.55	0.09	77
II	255	1.45	1.5	1	0.65	0.55	0.10	112
III	255	1.45	2	1	0.66	0.56	0.11	117
IV	215	1.35	2	1	0.67	0.57	0.11	98
V	187	1.25	2	1	0.67	0.56	0.11	84
VI	255	1.45	2.5	1	0.68	0.56	0.11	122
VII	255	1.45	2.5	1.1	0.69	0.56	0.12	127
VIII	255	1.45	2.5	1.2	0.70	0.57	0.13	130
IX	255	1.45	1	2	0.70	0.57	0.14	134
Х	215	1.35	1	2	0.71	0.57	0.14	112
XI	187	1.25	1	2	0.71	0.58	0.14	96

The set VIII reproduces the pion decay constant

 $m_q = 255 \,\mathrm{MeV}, m_g = 637.5 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  and  $\Lambda = 306 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

The contributions beyond the valence component are important,  $\sim 30\%$ 

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).

Set	m (MeV)	B/m	µ/m	$\Lambda/m$	Pval	$P_{\uparrow\downarrow}$	$P_{\uparrow\uparrow}$	$f_{\pi}$ (MeV)
Ι	187	1.25	0.15	2	0.64	0.55	0.09	77
II	255	1.45	1.5	1	0.65	0.55	0.10	112
III	255	1.45	2	1	0.66	0.56	0.11	117
IV	215	1.35	2	1	0.67	0.57	0.11	98
V	187	1.25	2	1	0.67	0.56	0.11	84
VI	255	1.45	2.5	1	0.68	0.56	0.11	122
VII	255	1.45	2.5	1.1	0.69	0.56	0.12	127
VIII	255	1.45	2.5	1.2	0.70	0.57	0.13	130
IX	255	1.45	1	2	0.70	0.57	0.14	134
Х	215	1.35	1	2	0.71	0.57	0.14	112
XI	187	1.25	1	2	0.71	0.58	0.14	96

The set VIII reproduces the pion decay constant

 $m_q = 255 \,\mathrm{MeV}, m_g = 637.5 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  and  $\Lambda = 306 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

The contributions beyond the valence component are important,  $\sim 30\%$ The Valence probability has a small variation for the range of parameters

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).



WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).



The symmetry of the PDFs is related to the charge symmetry.

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).



The symmetry of the PDFs is related to the charge symmetry.

The full PDF is normalized to 1, while the Valence PDF has norm 0.7

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).



The symmetry of the PDFs is related to the charge symmetry.

The full PDF is normalized to 1, while the Valence PDF has norm 0.7 The difference of 30% is due to the presence of higher Fock components.

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 103 014002 (2021).



The symmetry of the PDFs is related to the charge symmetry.

The full PDF is normalized to 1, while the Valence PDF has norm 0.7 The difference of 30% is due to the presence of higher Fock components. At the initial scale, for  $\xi \to 1$ , the exponent of  $(1 - \xi)^{\eta_0}$  is  $\eta_0 = 1.4$ 

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 105 L071505 (2022).

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 105 L071505 (2022).

Low order Mellin moments at scales Q = 2.0 GeV and Q = 5.2 GeV.

	BSE <sub>2</sub>	LQCD <sub>2</sub>	BSE <sub>5</sub>	LQCD <sub>5</sub>
$\langle x \rangle$	0.259	$0.261\pm0.007$	0.221	$0.229 \pm 0.008$
$\langle x^2 \rangle$	0.105	$0.110\pm0.014$	0.082	$0.087\pm0.009$
$\langle x^3 \rangle$	0.052	$0.024\pm0.018$	0.039	$0.042\pm0.010$
$\langle x^4 \rangle$	0.029		0.021	$0.023\pm0.009$
$\langle x^5 \rangle$	0.018		0.012	$0.014\pm0.007$
$\langle x^6 \rangle$	0.012		0.008	$0.009\pm0.005$

LQCD, Q = 2.0 GeV:

 $\langle x \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 103, 014508 (2021)

 $\langle x^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle x^3 \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 104, 054504 (2021)

LQCD, Q = 5.0 GeV:

 $\langle x \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 103, 014508 (2021)

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 105 L071505 (2022).

Low order Mellin moments at scales Q = 2.0 GeV and Q = 5.2 GeV.

	BSE <sub>2</sub>	LQCD <sub>2</sub>	BSE <sub>5</sub>	LQCD <sub>5</sub>
$\langle x \rangle$	0.259	$0.261\pm0.007$	0.221	$0.229 \pm 0.008$
$\langle x^2 \rangle$	0.105	$0.110\pm0.014$	0.082	$0.087\pm0.009$
$\langle x^3 \rangle$	0.052	$0.024\pm0.018$	0.039	$0.042\pm0.010$
$\langle x^4 \rangle$	0.029		0.021	$0.023\pm0.009$
$\langle x^5 \rangle$	0.018		0.012	$0.014\pm0.007$
$\langle x^6 \rangle$	0.012		0.008	$0.009\pm0.005$

LQCD, Q = 2.0 GeV:  $\langle x \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 103, 014508 (2021)

 $\langle x^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle x^3 \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 104, 054504 (2021)

LQCD, Q = 5.0 GeV:  $\langle x \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 103, 014508 (2021)

Hadronic scale and effective charge for DGLAP  $Q_0=0.330\pm0.030$  GeV -  $_{\rm Cui\ et\ al\ EPJC\ 2020\ 80\ 1064}$ 

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salme PRD 105 L071505 (2022).

Low order Mellin moments at scales Q = 2.0 GeV and Q = 5.2 GeV.

	BSE <sub>2</sub>	LQCD <sub>2</sub>	BSE <sub>5</sub>	LQCD <sub>5</sub>
$\langle x \rangle$	0.259	$0.261\pm0.007$	0.221	$0.229\pm0.008$
$\langle x^2 \rangle$	0.105	$0.110\pm0.014$	0.082	$0.087\pm0.009$
$\langle x^3 \rangle$	0.052	$0.024\pm0.018$	0.039	$0.042\pm0.010$
$\langle x^4 \rangle$	0.029		0.021	$0.023\pm0.009$
$\langle x^5 \rangle$	0.018		0.012	$0.014\pm0.007$
$\langle x^6 \rangle$	0.012		0.008	$0.009\pm0.005$

LQCD, Q = 2.0 GeV:  $\langle x \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 103, 014508 (2021)

 $\langle x^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle x^3 \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 104, 054504 (2021)

LQCD, Q = 5.0 GeV:  $\langle x \rangle$  - Alexandrou et al PRD 103, 014508 (2021)

Hadronic scale and effective charge for DGLAP  $Q_0 = 0.330 \pm 0.030$  GeV - Cui et al EPJC 2020 80 1064

Within the error, we choose  $Q_0 = 0.360$  GeV to fit the first Mellin moment.

We used lowest order DGLAP equations for evolution

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salm PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salm PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

Comparison with experimental data



Solid line: full calculation of the BSE evolved from the initial scale  $Q_0 = 0.360$  GeV to Q = 5.2 GeV Dashed line: The evolved LF valence contribution Full dots: experimental data from E615 Full squares: reanalyzed experimental data from Aicher et al

PRL 105, 252003 (2010). evolved to Q = 5.2 GeV

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salm PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salm PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

Comparison with other theoretical calculations



Solid line: full calculation of the BSE evolved from the initial scale  $Q_0 = 0.360$  GeV to Q = 5.2 GeV Dashed line: DSE calculation (Cui et al ) Dash-dotted line: DSE calculation with dressed quark-photon vertex from Bednar et al PRL 124, 042002 (2020) Dotted line: BLFQ colaboration, PLB 825, 136890 (2022) Gray area: LQCD results from C. Alexandrou et al (2021) It is in agreement with PQCD, exponent greater than 2

WP, Ydrefors, Nogueira, Frederico and Salm PRD 105, L071505 (2022).

Comparison with other theoretical calculations



Solid line: full calculation of the BSE evolved from the initial scale  $Q_0 = 0.360$  GeV to Q = 5.2 GeV Dashed line: DSE calculation (Cui et al ) Dash-dotted line: DSE calculation with dressed quark-photon vertex from Bednar et al PRL 124, 042002 (2020) Dotted line: BLFQ colaboration, PLB 825, 136890 (2022) Gray area: LQCD results from C. Alexandrou et al (2021) It is in agreement with PQCD, exponent greater than 2

Evolved  $\xi u(\xi)$ , for  $\xi \rightarrow 1$ , the exponent of  $(1 - \xi)^{\eta_5}$  is  $\eta_5 = 2.94$ LQCD: Alexandrou et al PRD 104, 054504 (2021) obtained  $2.20 \pm 0.64$ Cuit et al EPJA 58, 10 (2022) obtained  $2.81 \pm 0.08$ 

The probability distribution of the quarks inside the pion, on the light-front, is evaluated in the space given by the Cartesian product of the loffe-time and the plane spanned by the transverse coordinates.



The probability distribution of the quarks inside the pion, on the light-front, is evaluated in the space given by the Cartesian product of the loffe-time and the plane spanned by the transverse coordinates.



Our goal is to use the configuration space in order to have a more detailed information of the space-time structure of the hadrons.

The probability distribution of the quarks inside the pion, on the light-front, is evaluated in the space given by the Cartesian product of the loffe-time and the plane spanned by the transverse coordinates.



Our goal is to use the configuration space in order to have a more detailed information of the space-time structure of the hadrons.

The loffe-time (Miller and Brodsky) is useful for studying the relative importance of short and long light-like distances. It is defined as:

$$\tilde{z} = x \cdot P_{target} = x^{-} P_{target}^{+}/2$$
 on the hyperpane  $x^{+} = 0$ .

We perform a Fourier transform of the valence wf

The space-time structure of the pion in terms of loffe-time  $\tilde{z} = x^- p^+/2$  and the transverse coordinates  $\{b_x, b_y\}$ 

The pion  $b_{\perp}|\psi|^2$  in the 3D loffe-space



# Pion charge radius

Ydrefors, WP, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PLB 820, 136494 (2021)

# Pion charge radius

Ydrefors, WP, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PLB 820, 136494 (2021)

Pion charge radius and its decomposition in valence and non valence contributions.

Set	т	B/m	$\mu/m$	$\Lambda/m$	$P_{val}$	$f_{\pi}$	$r_{\pi}$ (fm)	r <sub>val</sub> (fm)	r <sub>nval</sub> (fm)
Ι	255	1.45	2.5	1.2	0.70	130	0.663	0.710	0.538
Π	215	1.35	2	1	0.67	98	0.835	0.895	0.703

where  $r_{\pi}^2 = -6 \left. dF_{\pi}(Q^2) / dQ^2 \right|_{Q^2=0}$ 

 $P_{\mathrm{val(nval)}} r_{\mathrm{val(nval)}}^2 = -6 \left. dF_{\mathrm{val(nval)}}(Q^2) / dQ^2 \right|_{Q^2=0}$ 

# Pion charge radius

Ydrefors, WP, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PLB 820, 136494 (2021)

Pion charge radius and its decomposition in valence and non valence contributions.

Set	т	B/m	$\mu/m$	$\Lambda/m$	$P_{val}$	$f_{\pi}$	$r_{\pi}$ (fm)	r <sub>val</sub> (fm)	r <sub>nval</sub> (fm)
Ι	255	1.45	2.5	1.2	0.70	130	0.663	0.710	0.538
Π	215	1.35	2	1	0.67	98	0.835	0.895	0.703

where 
$$r_\pi^2=-6\left.dF_\pi(Q^2)/dQ^2
ight|_{Q^2=0}$$

$$P_{\mathrm{val(nval)}} r_{\mathrm{val(nval)}}^2 = -6 \left. dF_{\mathrm{val(nval)}}(Q^2)/dQ^2 \right|_{Q^2=0}$$

The set I is in fair agreement with the PDG value:  $r_\pi^{PDG} = 0.659 \pm 0.004 ~{\rm fm}$
Ydrefors, WP, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PLB 820, 136494 (2021)



Ydrefors, WP, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PLB 820, 136494 (2021)





The elastic FF is given by

$$F(Q^{2}) = -i \frac{N_{c}}{M^{2} (1+\tau)} \int \frac{d^{4}p_{\bar{q}}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ (-p_{\bar{q}} - m)\bar{\Phi}(k'; P')(P + P') \Phi(k; P) \right]$$

Ydrefors, WP, Nogueira, Frederico and Salmè PLB 820, 136494 (2021)





 $m_q = 255 {
m MeV}$ ,  $m_g = 637 {
m MeV}$  and  $\Lambda = 306 {
m MeV}$ 





 $m_q = 255 {
m MeV}$ ,  $m_g = 637 {
m MeV}$  and  $\Lambda = 306 {
m MeV}$ 

Good agreement with experimental data (black curve). For high  $Q^2$  we obtain the valence dominance (dashed black curve) Our results recover the pQCD for large  $Q^2$  - Blue curve vs Black curve

Unpolarized transverse-momentum dependent quark distributions

Unpolarized transverse-momentum dependent quark distributions

TMD's are important for parametrizing the hadronic quark-quark correlator

Unpolarized transverse-momentum dependent quark distributions

TMD's are important for parametrizing the hadronic quark-quark correlator One can define the T-even subleading quark uTMDs, starting from the decomposition of the pion correlator (Mulders and Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197 (1996)).

Unpolarized transverse-momentum dependent quark distributions

TMD's are important for parametrizing the hadronic quark-quark correlator One can define the T-even subleading quark uTMDs, starting from the decomposition of the pion correlator (Mulders and Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197 (1996)).

twist-3 uTMD (See Lorcé, Pasquini, and Schweitzer, EPJC 76, 415 (2016)):

$$\frac{M}{P^+} e^q(\gamma,\xi) = \frac{N_c}{4} \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy^- d\mathbf{y}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi P^+ y^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{y}_\perp]} \left\langle P | \bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{y}{2}) \, \hat{\mathbf{1}} \, \psi_q(\frac{y}{2}) | P \right\rangle \Big|_{y^+=0}$$

$$\frac{M}{P^+} f^{\perp q}(\gamma,\xi) = \frac{N_c M}{4\gamma} \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy^- d\mathbf{y}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi P^+ y^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{\perp}]} \langle P|\bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{y}{2}) \, \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\perp} \, \psi_q(\frac{y}{2})|P\rangle \Big|_{y^+=0}$$

Unpolarized transverse-momentum dependent quark distributions

TMD's are important for parametrizing the hadronic quark-quark correlator One can define the T-even subleading quark uTMDs, starting from the decomposition of the pion correlator (Mulders and Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197 (1996)).

twist-3 uTMD (See Lorcé, Pasquini, and Schweitzer, EPJC 76, 415 (2016)):

$$\frac{M}{P^+} e^q(\gamma,\xi) = \frac{N_c}{4} \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy^- d\mathbf{y}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi P^+ y^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{y}_\perp]} \left\langle P | \bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{y}{2}) \ \hat{\mathbf{1}} \ \psi_q(\frac{y}{2}) | P \rangle \right|_{y^+=0}$$

$$\frac{M}{P^+} f^{\perp q}(\gamma,\xi) = \frac{N_c M}{4\gamma} \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy^- d\mathbf{y}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi P^+ y^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{\perp}]} \langle P|\bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{y}{2}) \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\perp} \psi_q(\frac{y}{2})|P\rangle|_{y^+=0}$$

twist-4 uTMD

$$\left(\frac{M}{P^+}\right)^2 f_4^q(\gamma,\xi) = \frac{N_c}{4} \int d\phi_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy^- d\mathbf{y}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{i[\xi P^+ y^-/2 - \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{y}_\perp]} \left\langle P|\bar{\psi}_q(-\frac{y}{2}) \gamma^- \psi_q(\frac{y}{2})|P\rangle\right|_{y^+=0}$$

#### twist-3 uTMD



twist-3 uTMD



Wayne de Paula (ITA)

Pion struct. within a dyn. model in MS

# **Conclusions and Perspectives**

- We present a method for solving the fermionic BSE in Minkowski space.
- We obtain the PDF, charge radius and Electromagnetic Form Factor.
- Furthermore, the image of the pion in the configuration space has been constructed. This 3D imaging is in line with the goal of the future Electron Ion Collider.
- We present first results for the uTMD. We intend to complete this analysis and calculate GPDs.
- Future plan is to include dressing functions for quark and gluon propagators and a more realistic quark-gluon vertex (Dyana's talk).