Photo- and electro-production of φ meson off the nucleon and 4He

# Sang-Ho Kim (金相鎬)

Soongsil University, Seoul Origin of Matter and Evolution of Galaxy (OMEG) Institute





In collaboration with H.-S.H.Lee (ANL), S.i.Nam (PKNU), Y.Oh (KNU)

APCTP Focus Program in Nuclear Physics 2022 18-22, July, 2022, Pohang

# Contents 1. $\gamma p \rightarrow \varphi(1020) p$ 2. $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \varphi(1020) p$ 3. $\gamma$ <sup>4</sup>He $\rightarrow \phi(1020)$ <sup>4</sup>He Introduction Formalism Results Summary & Future work

# Contents based on

[S.H.Kim, S.i.Nam, PRC.100.065208 (2019)] [S.H.Kim, S.i.Nam, PRC.101.065201 (2020)] [S.H.Kim, T.S.H.Lee, S.i.Nam, Y. Oh, PRC.104.045202 (2021)]

#### Introduction

 $\diamond$  photoproduction

electroproduction

 $\gamma p \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p \implies \gamma^* p \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ 

Regge model, at low W and  $Q^2$ 

production off nuclear targets

$$\gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow (\varphi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi, ...) A, [A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C, ...]$$

distorted-wave impulse approximation

 $\Rightarrow$ 

#### Introduction

 $\diamond$  photoproduction

electroproduction

 $\gamma p \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p \implies \gamma^* p \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi,...) p$ 

Regge model, at low W and  $Q^2$ 

production off nuclear targets

$$\gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow (\phi, \rho, \omega, J/\psi, ...) A, [A = {}^{2}H, {}^{4}He, {}^{12}C, ...]$$

distorted-wave impulse approximation

 Approved 12 GeV era experiments to date at Jafferson Labarotory: [E12-09-003] Nucleon Resonances Studies with CLAS
 [E12-11-002] Proton Recoil Polarization in the <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>He(e,e'p)n, <sup>1</sup>He(e,e'p)
 [E12-11-005] Meson spectroscopy with low Q<sup>2</sup> electron scattering in CLAS12
 [E12-12-006] Near Threshold Electroproduction of J/ψ at 11 GeV
 [E12-12-007] Exclusive Phi Meson Electroproduction with CLAS12

 $\diamond$  Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will carry out the relevant experiments in the future.

# $\gamma^{(*)} \: p \to V \: p$



# $\gamma^{(*)} \: p \to V \: p$



□ Photon(γ) polarization vector Transverse comp. ( $\lambda_{\gamma}$ =±1) [photo-, electro-] Longitudinal comp. ( $\lambda_{\gamma}$ =0) [electro-]

 $\rightarrow \sigma$ , d $\sigma$ /d $\Omega$ , d $\sigma$ /dt  $\rightarrow \sigma$ T,  $\sigma$ L,  $\sigma$ TT,  $\sigma$ LT, R= $\sigma$ L/ $\sigma$ T ... (T-L separated cross sections)

[photo-, electro-] [electro-]

# $\gamma^{(*)} p \rightarrow V p$



# Decay frame



#### Adair frame

Helicty frame: in favor of s-channel helicity conservation (SCHC)

Gottfried-Jackson frame: in favor of t-channel helicity conservation (TCHC)

 $\Box$  Photon( $\gamma$ ) polarization vector Transverse comp. ( $\lambda_{\gamma}=\pm 1$ ) [photo-, electro-] Longitudinal comp. ( $\lambda\gamma=0$ ) [electro-]

> [photo-, electro-] [electro-]

 $\rightarrow$  spin-density matrices ( $\rho_{ij}$ ) [photo-, electro-]  $\rightarrow$  decay angular distributions (W) [photo-, electro-]

# $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$

# theoretical framework



# $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$

# theoretical framework



 Extending to "the virtual-photon sector" opens the way
 > to tune hadronic component of the exchanged photon
 > to explore to what extent meson exchange survives
 > to observe hard-scattering mechanisms, with a second hard scale, "photon virtuality -(ke-ke')<sup>2</sup>=Q<sup>2</sup>".

# $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V(\rho, \omega, \phi, J/\psi) p$



□ We can test which of the two descriptions - with "hadronic" or "quark" degrees of freedom - applies in the considered kinematical domain.

□ At low photon virtualities ( $Q^2 \leq Mv^2$ ) and low energies ( $W \leq$  several GeV), our hadronic effective model is applicable.



[Laget,PLB.489.313(2000)]



□ high energy:

The two-gluon exchange is simplified by the Donnachie-Landshoff (DL) model which suggests that the Pomeron couples to the nucleon like a C = +1 isoscalar photon and its coupling is

described in terms of  $F_N(t)$ .

[Pomeron Physics and QCD (Cambridge University, 2002)]

 $\Box$  We focus on  $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ .

# □ high energy



 $\Box \sigma [\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p] \approx \sigma [\gamma p \rightarrow \omega p]$  $\Box F_{N}: isoscalar EM form factor$ of the nucleon

$$F_N(t) = \frac{4M_N^2 - a_N^2 t}{(4M_N^2 - t)(1 - t/t_0)^2}$$

I low energy:We need to clarify the reaction mechanism.

[Exp: Dey, CLAS, PRC.89. 055208 (2014) Seraydaryan, CLAS, PRC.89.055206 (2014) Mizutani, LEPS, PRC.96.062201 (2017)]



p

 $\pi, \eta, a_0, f_0, f_1$ 

= uud

 $\Box \sigma[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p] << \sigma[\gamma p \rightarrow (\rho, \omega)p]$ due to the OZI rule

### Born term

□ Scattering amplitude:  $T_{\phi N,\gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N,\gamma N}]$ 



□ Ward-Takahashi identity

 $\mathcal{M}(k) = \epsilon_{\mu}(k)\mathcal{M}^{\mu}(k)$ 

if we replace  $\epsilon_{\mu}$  with  $k_{\mu}$ :

$$k_{\mu}\mathcal{M}^{\mu}(k) = 0$$

# Born term

□ Scattering amplitude:  $T_{\phi N,\gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N,\gamma N}]$ 



**Effective Lagrangians**  $\Box$  EM vertex  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\phi f_1} = g_{\gamma\phi f_1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} \partial^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \phi_{\alpha} f_{1\beta}$  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\Phi\phi} = \frac{eg_{\gamma\Phi\phi}}{M_{\phi}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}\partial_{\alpha}\phi_{\beta}\Phi$  $\mathcal{L}_{\gamma S \phi} = \frac{e g_{\gamma S \phi}}{M_{\phi}} F^{\mu \nu} \phi_{\mu \nu} S$ □ strong vertex  $\mathcal{L}_{f_1NN} = -g_{f_1NN}\bar{N} \bigg[ \gamma_{\mu} - i \frac{\kappa_{f_1NN}}{2M_N} \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\nu} \bigg] f_1^{\mu} \gamma_5 N$  $\mathcal{L}_{\Phi NN} = -ig_{\Phi NN}\bar{N}\Phi\gamma_5N$  $\mathcal{L}_{SNN} = -g_{SNN}\bar{N}SN$  $\int \mathcal{L}_{\gamma NN} = -e\bar{N} \left[ \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{\kappa_{N}}{2\pi \epsilon} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\nu} \right] NA^{\mu}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi NN} = -g_{\phi NN} \bar{N} \bigg[ \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{\kappa_{\phi NN}}{2M_N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\nu} \bigg] N \phi^{\mu}$$

09

# Born term

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitude: } T_{\phi N, \gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N, \gamma N}] \\ \hline \text{Scattering amplitu$$

+

p

p

p

 $p, p^*$ 

# final state interaction (FSI)

my

p

 $p(p_1)$ 

2000

 $\gamma(k_1)$   $\psi(k_1)$ 

 $p,p^*$ 

 $\gamma p$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $\phi p$ 

 $\phi(k_2)$ 

**∦**Pomeron

 $p(p_2)$ 

Leeeeee

**Scattering amplitude:**  $T_{\phi N,\gamma N}(E) = [B_{\phi N,\gamma N} + T_{\phi N,\gamma N}^{FSI}(E)]$ 

FSI=

K

p

 $\Lambda, \Sigma$ 

K

p

+

 $\pi$ 

p

p

 $K_{L}^{0} K_{S}^{0}$  $\rho\pi + \ \pi^+ \ \pi^- \ \pi^0 \ \ (15.24 \pm 0.33)\%$  $\Gamma_3$ Nur V  $\Gamma_4$  $\rho\pi$  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  $\Gamma_5$  $\gamma p$  $\Gamma_6$  $(1.303 \pm 0.025)\%$  $\rightarrow$  $\eta\gamma$ FSI  $\phi p$  $(1.32\pm 0.06) imes 10^{-3}$  $\pi^0 \gamma$  $\Gamma_7$  $\Gamma_8$  $\ell^+\ell^ (2.974 \pm 0.034) imes 10^{-4}$  $\Gamma_9$  $e^+e^$ p $(2.86\pm0.19) imes10^{-4}$  $\Gamma_{10}$  $\mu^+\mu^ (1.08\pm0.04) imes10^{-4}$  $\Gamma_{11}$  $\eta e^+ e^-$ My Hocecce  $(7.3 \pm 1.3) imes 10^{-5}$  $\Gamma_{12}$  $\pi^+\pi^ (4.7 \pm 0.5) imes 10^{-5}$  $\Gamma_{13}$  $\omega \pi^0$  $f_1(1285),$  $\pi, \eta, a_0, f_0$ < 5% $\Gamma_{14}$  $\omega\gamma$  $< 1.2 imes 10^{-5}$  $\Gamma_{15}$  $\rho\gamma$ p 2000 M Kρ Φ  $\pi$ 



 $K^+ K^-$ 

 $\Gamma_1$ 

 $\Gamma_2$ 

+

 $\rho$ 

p

p

p

π

p

 $(49.2 \pm 0.5)\%$ 

 $(34.0 \pm 0.4)\%$ 





(d)

(e)

(f)



 $t_{\phi N,\phi N}(E) = V_{\phi N,\phi N}(E) + V_{\phi N,\phi N}G_{\phi N}(E)t_{\phi N,\phi N}(E)$ 

N



$$t_{\phi N,\phi N}(E) = V_{\phi N,\phi N}(E) + V_{\phi N,\phi N}G_{\phi N}(E)t_{\phi N,\phi N}(E)$$

$$v_{\phi N,\phi N}^{\text{Gluon}} + v_{\phi N,\phi N}^{\text{Direct}} + \sum_{MB} v_{\phi N,MB} G_{MB}(E) v_{MB,\phi N}$$
(a) (b,c) (d,e,f) MB = (KA, K\Sigma, \pi N, \rho N)

 $t_{\phi N,\phi N}(E)$ 

N

(b)

N

(e)

N

 $\overline{N}$ 

N

 $\overline{N}$ 

N

(c)

N

(f)

N

N

N

 $\overline{N}$ 

N

 $\begin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$ 

 $\overline{N}$ 



# final state interaction (FSI)



The J/ψ-N potential from the LQCD data ~ Yukawa form ( $v_0 = 0.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.3$  GeV)

[Kawanai, Sasaki, PRD.82.091501(R) (2010)]

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{gluon}} = -v_0 \frac{e^{-lpha r}}{r}$$

u which is assumed in our work, φ-N potential The best fit was obtained by ( $v_0 = 0.2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  GeV).



# final state interaction (FSI)



The J/ψ-N potential from the LQCD data ~ Yukawa form ( $v_0 = 0.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.3$  GeV)

[Kawanai, Sasaki, PRD.82.091501(R) (2010)]

 $\mathcal{V}_{\text{gluon}} = -v_0 \frac{e^{-\alpha r}}{r}$ 



u which is assumed in our work, φ-N potential The best fit was obtained by ( $v_0 = 0.2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  GeV).

□ The potential is obtained by taking the nonrelativistic limit of the scalar-meson exchange amplitude calculated from the Lagrangian:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\sigma} = V_0(\bar{\psi}_N\psi_N\Phi_{\sigma} + \phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu}\Phi_{\sigma})$$

 $\Phi_{\sigma}$  is a scalar field with mass  $\alpha$  (V<sub>0</sub>=-8 $\upsilon_0\pi M_{\phi}$ ).

$$\square \mathcal{V}_{gluon}(k\lambda_{\phi}, pm_s; k'\lambda'_{\phi}, p'm'_s) = \frac{V_0}{(p-p')^2 - \alpha^2} \left[\bar{u}_N(p, m_s)u_N(p', m'_s)\right] [\epsilon^*_{\mu}(k, \lambda_{\phi})\epsilon^{\mu}(k', \lambda'_{\phi})]$$

# final state interaction (FSI)



The J/ψ-N potential from the LQCD data ~ Yukawa form ( $v_0 = 0.1$ ,  $\alpha = 0.3$  GeV)

[Kawanai, Sasaki, PRD.82.091501(R) (2010)]

 $\mathcal{V}_{\text{gluon}} = -v_0 \frac{e^{-\alpha r}}{r}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ -$ 

u which is assumed in our work, φ-N potential The best fit was obtained by ( $v_0 = 0.2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.5$  GeV).

# $\Box$ The $\varphi$ -N potential from the LQCD [hep-lat] 2205.10544

Attractive N-φ Interaction and Two-Pion Tail from Lattice QCD near Physical Point Yan Lyu,<sup>1,2</sup>,<sup>\*</sup> Takumi Doi,<sup>2</sup>,<sup>†</sup> Tetsuo Hatsuda,<sup>2</sup>,<sup>‡</sup> Yoichi Ikeda,<sup>3</sup>,<sup>§</sup> Jie Meng,<sup>1,4</sup>,<sup>¶</sup> Kenji Sasaki,<sup>3</sup>,<sup>\*\*</sup> and Takuya Sugiura<sup>2</sup>,<sup>††</sup>

The simple fitting functions such as

"the Yukawa form" and "the van der Waals form ~  $1/r^k$  with k=6(7)" cannot reproduce the lattice data.

> We need to update our results based on the LQCD data.



FIG. 1. (Color online). The N- $\phi$  potential V(r) in the  ${}^{4}S_{3/2}$  channel as a function of separation r at Euclidean time t/a = 12 (red squares), 13 (green circles) and 14 (blue triangles).

# total cross section $[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p]$



Born term

# total cross section $[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p]$



Born term

Our Pomeron model describes
 the high energy regions quite well.



Our Pomeron model describes
 the high energy regions quite well.



□ The contributions of the FSI terms are almost very small.

with FSI



differential cross sections  $[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p]$ 

#### Born term

□ Forward: Pomeron exchange

 $\square$  Backward: mesons, nucleon,  $N^*$  exchanges

play crucial roles.

[Exp: Dey (CLAS), PRC.89. 055208 (2014)]

15



differential cross sections  $[\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p]$ 

#### with FSI

□ The contributions of the FSI terms are very small.

[Exp: Dey (CLAS), PRC.89. 055208 (2014)]

# spin-density matrices



#### Definition



 $\Box$   $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda'$ : Helicity states of the vector-meson

 $\Box$  For a *t*-channel exchange of X, the momentum of  $\gamma$  and V is collinear in the GJ frame.

Thus, the  $\rho i j^k$  elements measure the degree of helicity flip due to the *t*-channel exchange of X in the GJ frame.

# spin-density matrices

# Decay frame



# V rest frame Adair frame Helicty frame Gottfried-Jackson frame

#### Definition

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{0} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \\ \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \\ \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{2} &= \frac{i}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \lambda_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \\ \rho_{\lambda\lambda'}^{3} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{i},\lambda_{f}} \lambda_{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda;\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{f}\lambda';\lambda_{i}\lambda_{\gamma}}^{*}, \end{split}$$

$$ho_{00}^0 \propto \left| \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{\gamma=1},\lambda_{\phi=0}} \right|^2 + \left| \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{\gamma=-1},\lambda_{\phi=0}} \right|^2$$

 Single helicity-flip transition between γ & V

$$-\mathrm{Im}[\rho_{1-1}^2] \approx \rho_{1-1}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^N - \sigma^U}{\sigma^N + \sigma^U}$$

 Relative contribution between Natural & Unnatural parity exchanges Convert into other frames by applying Wigner rotations:

Gottfried-Jackson

р

c.m. frame

Helicity

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{A \to H} &= \theta_{c.m.}, \\ \alpha_{H \to GJ} &= -\cos^{-1} \left( \frac{v - \cos \theta_{c.m.}}{v \cos \theta_{c.m.} - 1} \right) \\ \alpha_{A \to GJ} &= \alpha_{A \to H} + \alpha_{H \to GJ} \end{aligned}$$

V rest frame

*v* : The velocity of the K meson in the  $\phi$  rest frame ( $\phi \rightarrow K\overline{K}$  decay)



# $\gamma^* \: p \to V \: p$



#### total cross section

$$\sigma = \sigma_{\rm T} + \varepsilon \sigma_{\rm L} \qquad \frac{d\sigma}{d\Phi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Big( \sigma + \varepsilon \sigma_{\rm TT} \cos 2\Phi + \sqrt{2\varepsilon (1+\varepsilon)} \sigma_{\rm LT} \cos \Phi \Big)$$

ε: Virtual-photon polarization parameter



unpolarized cross sections

#### [Exp: Dixon (Cornell), PRL.39.516 (1977)] et al.



ε: Virtual-photon polarization parameter

□ The Q<sup>2</sup> dependence of the cross sections is well described. □ The agreement with the exp. data is good at the real photon limit Q<sup>2</sup>=0.



[Exp: Santoro (CLAS), PRC.78.025210 (2008)]

Pomeron and S-meson exchanges dominate transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) cross sections, respectively.



[Exp: Santoro (CLAS), PRC.78.025210 (2008)]

Pomeron and S-meson exchanges dominate transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) cross sections, respectively.

#### 22

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$ 

Pomeron

S (ao,fo)

**PS** (π,η)

AV (f1)

total



[Exp: Santoro (CLAS), PRC.78.025210 (2008)]

□ The signs of Pomeron and **meson** contributions are opposite to each other. □  $\sigma$ TT and  $\sigma$ LT become zero as W and Q<sup>2</sup> increases, indicating SCHC.

# $\gamma^* \, p \to \phi \, p$

Pomeron

S (ao,fo)

PS (π,η)

AV (f1)

total

spin-density matrix elements (rk<sup>ij</sup>)



□ The relative contributions of different meson exchanges are verified.
 □ Our hadronic approach is very successful for describing the data at Q<sup>2</sup>=(0-4) GeV<sup>2</sup>, W=(2-5) GeV, t=(0-2) GeV<sup>2</sup>.

24

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p$ 



#### T-L separated cross sections

[Exp: Morrow (CLAS), EPJA.39.5 (2009)]

 $\square$  If SCHC holds,  $\sigma TT$  and  $\sigma LT$  become zero.

• Pomeron > meson-exchange  $(\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p)$ Pomeron < meson-exchange  $(\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p, \omega p)$ 

25

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho(770) p$ 



 $\Box \text{ Parity asymmetry } P \equiv \frac{\sigma_T^N - \sigma_T^U}{\sigma_T^N + \sigma_T^U} = (1 + \varepsilon R) \left(2r_{1-1}^1 - r_{00}^1\right)$ 

# $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho(770) p$

# T-L separated cross sections



 $\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1} \overline{|\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})}|^{2}}, \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}}{dt} &= \overline{|\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma} = 0)}|^{2}}, \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{TT}}}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1} \overline{\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})} \mathcal{M}^{(-\lambda_{\gamma})^{*}}}, \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mathrm{LT}}}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1} \lambda_{\gamma} (\overline{\mathcal{M}^{(0)} \mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})^{*}}} \\ &+ \overline{\mathcal{M}^{(\lambda_{\gamma})} \mathcal{M}^{(0)^{*}}}) \end{aligned}$ 

#### Regge-based model [Laget, PRD70.054023 (2004)]

ο σπ

- $\Box$  If SCHC holds,  $\sigma$ TT and  $\sigma$ LT become zero.
- Pomeron > meson-exchange  $(\gamma^* p \rightarrow \phi p)$ Pomeron < meson-exchange  $(\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p, \omega p)$

# $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega(782) p$

#### spin-density matrix elements (rk<sup>ij</sup>)



 $\Box$  SCHC holds, if  $r_{ij}^k = 0$ . It seems that SCHC is broken.

$$r_{ij}^{04} = \frac{\rho_{ij}^{0} + \varepsilon R \rho_{ij}^{4}}{1 + \varepsilon R},$$
  

$$r_{ij}^{\alpha} = \frac{\rho_{ij}^{\alpha}}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (0 - 3),$$
  

$$r_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{R} \frac{\rho_{ij}^{\alpha}}{1 + \varepsilon R}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = (5 - 8)$$

28

 $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \omega(782) p$ 

#### Coherent $\phi$ photoproduction off <sup>4</sup>He

□ We employ a distorted-wave impulse approximation.

 $\Box$  The contribution from the impulse term for spin J=0 nuclei:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{IMP}}}{d\Omega_{\mathrm{Lab}}} = \frac{(2\pi)^4 |\mathbf{k}|^2 E_V(\mathbf{k}) E_A(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})}{|E_A(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})|\mathbf{k}| + E_V(\mathbf{k})(|\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{q}|\cos\theta_{\mathrm{Lab}})|} |AF_T(t)\bar{t}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})|^2$$

 $\gamma {}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow \phi {}^{4}\text{He} \qquad \gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ 

 $F_c(q^2) = F_N(q^2)F_T(q^2 = t)$ Fc (FN) : nuclear (nucleon) charge FF

#### Coherent $\phi$ photoproduction off <sup>4</sup>He

 $\gamma {}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow \phi {}^{4}\text{He}$ 

Use employ a distorted-wave impulse approximation.

 $\Box$  The contribution from the impulse term for spin J=0 nuclei:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\mathrm{IMP}}}{d\Omega_{\mathrm{Lab}}} = \frac{(2\pi)^4 |\mathbf{k}|^2 E_V(\mathbf{k}) E_A(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})}{|E_A(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})|\mathbf{k}| + E_V(\mathbf{k})(|\mathbf{k}| - |\mathbf{q}|\cos\theta_{\mathrm{Lab}})|} |AF_T(t)\bar{t}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q})|^2$$

 $F_c(q^2) = F_N(q^2)F_T(q^2 = t)$ Fc (FN) : nuclear (nucleon) charge FF



 $\gamma \: p \to \phi \: p$ 

### Coherent $\phi$ photoproduction off <sup>4</sup>He

U We employ a distorted-wave impulse approximation.



 $\gamma {}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow \phi {}^{4}\text{He}$ 

[Exp: Hiraiwa (LEPS), PRC.035208.5 (2017)]

#### Coherent $\phi$ photoproduction off ${}^4\text{He}$

U We employ a distorted-wave impulse approximation.



 $\gamma {}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow \phi {}^{4}\text{He}$ 

[Exp: Hiraiwa (LEPS), PRC.035208.5 (2017)]



is not due to the N\* contribution.
may arise from another mechanism.

#### Coherent $\varphi$ photoproduction off <sup>4</sup>He

U We employ a distorted-wave impulse approximation.



 $\Box$  The peak position is similar to each other. Any relation between them?

#### Summary & Future work

- ◇ For γ p → φ p & γ\* p → φ p, we studied the relative contributions between the Pomeson and various meson exchanges.
   The light-meson (π, η, ao, fo,...) contribution is crucial to describe the data at low energies.
   > Regge model
- ♦ Extension to  $\gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow V[\phi, J/\psi, \Upsilon(1S)] A$ , [A = <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C,...]  $\gamma^{4}He \rightarrow \phi^{4}He$ 
  - > A distorted-wave impulse approximation within the multiple scattering formulation

#### Summary & Future work

- ◇ For γ p → φ p & γ\* p → φ p, we studied the relative contributions between the Pomeson and various meson exchanges.
   The light-meson (π, η, ao, fo,...) contribution is crucial to describe the data at low energies.
   > Regge model
- ♦ Extension to  $\gamma^{(*)} A \rightarrow V[\phi, J/\psi, \Upsilon(1S)] A$ , [A = <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C,...]  $\gamma^{4}He \rightarrow \phi^{4}He$ 
  - > A distorted-wave impulse approximation within the multiple scattering formulation
- Approved 12 GeV era experiments to date at Jafferson Labarotory: [E12-09-003] Nucleon Resonances Studies with CLAS
   [E12-11-002] Proton Recoil Polarization in the <sup>4</sup>He(e,e'p)<sup>3</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>He(e,e'p)n, <sup>1</sup>He(e,e'p)
   [E12-11-005] Meson spectroscopy with low Q<sup>2</sup> electron scattering in CLAS12
   [E12-12-006] Near Threshold Electroproduction of J/ψ at 11 GeV
   [E12-12-007] Exclusive Phi Meson Electroproduction with CLAS12
- $\diamond$  Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will carry out the relevant experiments in the future.

# Thank you very much for your attention