K+N scattering and in-medium strange quark condensate



Daisuke JIDO

Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

Reimei workshop, "Hadrons in dense matter at J-PARC" 2022.2.21-23

Contents

- in-medium quark condensate correlation function approach
- K+ nucleon scattering revisited
- possible broad resonance in KN channel
- summary

in-medium quark condensate

correlation function approach

 a correlation function (axial vector)in medium

 $\Pi_5^{ab}(q) = \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \langle \Omega \, | \, \mathbf{T}[A^a_{\mu}(x)\phi^b_5(0) \, | \, \Omega \rangle$

DJ, Hatsuda, Kunihiro, PLB 670 (2008), 109.

axial current $A^a_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau^a q$, pseudoscalar field $\phi^a_5 = \bar{q} i \gamma_5 \tau^a q$ in soft limit, according to chiral Ward identity

 $\Pi_5^{ab}(0) = \langle \Omega | [Q_5^a, \phi_5^b] | \Omega \rangle = -i\delta^{ab} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^*$

in-medium quark condensate

correlation function approach

 a correlation function (axial vector)in medium

 $\Pi_5^{ab}(q) = \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \langle \Omega \, | \, \mathbf{T}[A^a_{\mu}(x)\phi^b_5(0) \, | \, \Omega \rangle$

DJ, Hatsuda, Kunihiro, PLB 670 (2008), 109.

axial current $A^a_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau^a q$, pseudoscalar field $\phi^a_5 = \bar{q} i \gamma_5 \tau^a q$ in soft limit, according to chiral Ward identity $\Pi_5^{ab}(0) = \langle \Omega | [Q^a_5, \phi^b_5] | \Omega \rangle = -i \delta^{ab} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^*$

- if we calculate the correlation function in medium and take soft limit, we obtain the quark condensate in nuclear medium
- in-medium chiral perturbation theory provides diagrammatical calculation



in-medium quark condensate

• ChPT at NNLO, density up to k_F^5 , without N-N correlation



Hübsch, DJ, PRC 104 (2021), 015202.

FIG. 1. Density dependence of the in-medium quark condensate, normalized to the vacuum condensate. The ratio of neutrons to protons is given by ρ_n/ρ_p . At normal nuclear density, $\rho = \rho_0$, the quark condensate is reduced by about 35% compared to its vacuum value.

See also, Kaiser, Homont, Weise, PRC 77 (2008), 025204.

in-medium strange quark condensate

- extended to SU(3), we can calculate the strange quark condensate $\Pi_5^{ab}(q) = \mathrm{F.T.} \, \partial^{\mu} \langle \Omega \, | \, \mathrm{T}[A^a_{\mu}(x)\phi^b_5(0) \, | \, \Omega \rangle$
 - taking a,b = 4,5 for kaon sector

$$\Pi_5^{4+i5,4-i5}(0) = -i\langle \bar{u}u + \bar{s}s \rangle^*$$

	S. Hübsch, PhD Thesis (2021)
lizawa, Hübscl	n, DJ, in preparation

in-medium strange quark condensate

- extended to SU(3), we can calculate the strange quark condensate

 $\Pi_5^{ab}(q) = \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \langle \Omega | \mathbf{T}[A^a_{\mu}(x)\phi_5^b(0) | \Omega \rangle$

taking a,b = 4,5 for kaon sector

$$\Pi_5^{4+i5,4-i5}(0) = -i\langle \bar{u}u + \bar{s}s \rangle^*$$

S. Hübsch,
PhD Thesis (2021)

lizawa, Hübsch, DJ, in preparation

• at leading order (SU(3) symmetric)

$$\frac{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle^*}{\langle \bar{s}s \rangle_0} = \frac{4\rho}{f^2} (b_0 + b_D - b_F)$$

SU(3) chiral Lagrangian

 $\mathcal{L}_{MB}^{(2)} = b_D \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\bar{B}\{\chi_+, B\}\right\} + b_F \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\bar{B}[\chi_+, B]\right\} + b_0 \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\bar{B}B\right\} \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\chi_+\right\} + \dots$

it provides baryon mass terms and meson-baryon contact interactions

in-medium strange quark condensate



- Set 1, 2: X. L. Ren, L. S. Geng, J. M. Camalich, J. Meng, and H. Toki, Octet baryon masses in next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory, JHEP **2012**, 73 (2012)
- Set 3: B. Kubis and U. G. Meißner, Baryon form factors in chiral perturbation theory, Eur. Phys. J. C18, 747 (2001)
- Set 4: K. Aoki and D. Jido, K⁺–nucleus elastic scattering revisited from the perspective of partial restoration of chiral symmetry, PTEP **2017**, 103D01 (2017)

K⁺ nucleon elastic scattering revisited

KN elastic scattering in chiral perturbation theory
 LO + NLO, 4 LECs, (incomplete, some terms missing)



experimental data

 K^+p elastic differential cross sections, I=0 total cross section I=0 LECS determined with baryon masses

	1.01×10^{-5} 4.33×10^{-4}	MeV^{-1} MeV^{-1}	$\chi^2/N = 0.83$
$\overline{b^{I=0}} \ d^{I=0}$	1.55×10^{-4} 3.91×10^{-4}	MeV^{-1} MeV^{-1}	$\chi^2/N = 12.0$

Table 1. Determined parameters for the tree-level amplitude. $b^{I=0}$ can be fixed by $b^{I=1}$, b_D , and b_F .





• I=1 (K^+p) cross sections are reproduced well



Aoki, DJ, PTEP2017,103D01(17)





• I=0 cross sections are not reproduced so well



K⁺ - nucleus scattering revisited

• self-energy of K^+ in Fermi gas approximation

$$\Pi(\omega, \overrightarrow{p}) = 4 \int^{k_F} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} T_{K^+N}(p, q)$$

\cdot wavefunction renormalization

can be one of the corrections beyond linear density - energy dependence of self-energy provides WFR

$$\Pi = 2m_{K^+}V_{\rm opt} \simeq -Z\rho T_{K^+N}$$

Kolomeitsev, Kaiser, Weise, PRL90, 092501 (03)

wavefunction renormalization $Z \equiv 1 + \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial \omega^2} \bigg|_{\omega = m_{K^+}}$

ChPT leading order calculation of KN scattering amplitude



wavefunction renormalization

Aoki, DJ, PTEP2017,103D01(17)

• leading order (Weinberg-Tomozawa term)

$$Z = 1 + \frac{3\rho_0}{8M_K f_K^2} \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = 1 + 0.082 \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}, \qquad 8\% \text{ enhancement at } \rho = \rho_0$$

+ next-to-leading order (without medium modification on kaon)



a few % enhancement with $p_{K^+}\sim 500~{\rm MeV}$

Aoki, DJ, PTEP2017,103D01(17)

• I=0 cross sections are not reproduced so well



In particular, increase at $p_{\rm lab} \sim 500~{\rm MeV}$ is not reproduced

Possible wide resonance with S=+1 in unitarized amplitudes

wide resonance in I=0 and S=+1

\cdot unitarized amplitude T

T = V + VGT

V: interaction kernel, given by ChPT

G: KN loop function (I=0, I=1)

one subtraction constant is fixed as a natural value

• chiral Lagrangian

most general form up to next-leading-order 8 LECs (4 LECs for I=1, 4 LECs for I=0)

• data

up to 800 MeV, where inelastic contributions start to be significant

 $K^+p \rightarrow K^+p$, total and differential cross sections, p_{lab} =145 to 726 MeV,

which determine I=1 amplitudes very well

 $K^+n \rightarrow K^+n, K^0p$, differential cross sections, p_{lab} =526, 604, 640 MeV,

total cross section I=0

Aoki, DJ, PTEP2019,013D01(19)

L.-S. Geng, Frontiers of Physics 8, 328 (2013)

I=1 total cross sections

• we have two solutions

Aoki, DJ, PTEP2019,013D01(19)



good agreements

solution 1 is consistent with Martin' amplitude and SAID

K+p differential cross sections





D. Jído

I=0 total cross sections

• increase at $p_{lab} \sim 500$ MeV is reproduced



- solution 1: Po1 amplitude dominate
- solution 2: Po3 amplitude largely contributed

K+n elastic scattering



Reimei 2022

D. Jído

23

$K^+n \rightarrow K^0p$ charge exchange scattering

Reimei 2022

D. Jído

dơ/dΩ [mb/sr]

 $d\sigma/d\Omega \; [mb/sr]$

dơ/dΩ [mb/sr]

 $d\sigma/d\Omega \; [mb/sr]$

24

Possible broad resonance with S=+1

• a resonance pole around 1650 MeV (plab = 500 MeV) with a large width

amplitude (J^P)	mass [MeV]	width [MeV]
Solution 1 $P_{01}\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$	1617	305
Solution 2 $P_{03}\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	1678	463

Table 3. The resonance states of Solutions 1 and 2.

🖈 D. Jído

Possible broad resonance with S=+1

• increase around plab = 500 MeV is explained by a resonance

Table 3. The resonance states of	f Solutions 1 and 2	•
----------------------------------	---------------------	---

amplitude (J^P)	mass [MeV]	width [MeV]
Solution 1 $P_{01}\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$	1617	305
Solution 2 $P_{03}\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	1678	463

- resonance does not always have a peak structure
 - \rightarrow Fano resonance

Fano resonance

• resonance pole + back ground with a relative phase

$$f(E) = \frac{i}{E - M + i\Gamma/2} + be^{i\delta}$$

Possible broad resonance with S=+1

• increase around plab = 500 MeV is explained by a resonance

Table 3. The resonance states of	f Solutions 1 and 2	•
----------------------------------	---------------------	---

amplitude (J^P)	mass [MeV]	width [MeV]
Solution 1 $P_{01}\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$	1617	305
Solution 2 $P_{03}\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	1678	463

- resonance does not always have a peak structure
 - \rightarrow Fano resonance

Summary

- in-medium quark condensate can be evaluated by correlation function in soft limit
 - it connects to quark condensate to the low energy constants or scattering properties
 - S=+1 channel is much better than S=-1, because it is free from baryon resonance.
- NNLO chiral perturbation theory
 - describes I=I (K⁺p) elastic scattering amplitude very well up to 800 MeV
 - poorly reproduces I=0 scattering amplitudes
 - cannot provide increase at $p_{lab} = 500 \text{ MeV}$ in I=0 total cross section
- unitarized KN amplitude
 - describes K⁺p elastic scattering amplitude very well again
 - reproduces well $K^+n \rightarrow K^+n$, K^0p amplitudes
 - provides a broad resonance with S=+1 and I=0
- owing to presence of resonance at $p_{lab} = 500 \text{ MeV}$, one need $K^+n \rightarrow K^+n$, K^0p amplitudes below there

Table 3. The resonance states of Solutions 1 and 2.

amplitude (J^P)	mass [MeV]	width [MeV]
Solution 1 $P_{01}\left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$	1617	305
Solution 2 $P_{03}\left(\frac{3}{2}^+\right)$	1678	463

for better extrapolation to extract in-medium quark condensate.