Delta baryon photoproduction with twisted photons

Carl E. Carlson William & Mary

Crim Dell



Talk based on work with Andrei Afanasev plus related work with Asmita Mukherjee, Maria Solyanik-Gorgone, Christian Schmiegelow, Ferdinand Kaler-Schmidt, Jonas Schulz, Hao Wang

APCTP Focus Program in Nuclear Physics 2021 19-24 July 2021, Gyeongju, Korea





- What are we talking about? Introduction to twisted photons.
- Do they really exist? Right now, yes, in atomic physics.
- Hadronic application: Photoproduction of $\Delta(1232)$ from nucleons.

Outline



- For plane wave photon states, we know that <u>angular momentum along</u> <u>direction fo motion</u> ("helicity") is just spin and just ± 1 (or $\pm \hbar$).
- Not true in general!
- Angular momentum along direction of motion can be any integer (times \hbar)
- Nor realized until 1992, Allen et al., PRA with 7600 citations (Google) Scholar, July 17) and photon modes called Laguerre-Gaussian

Intro

- Could have been realized earlier
- Just for beginning, think of "scalar photons" (no polarization)
- 1987 JOSA-A Durnin: \exists non-diffractive waves that are not plane waves.
- "Non-diffractive" means waves moving in some direction (e.g., z-direction) w/o spreading in the transverse direction.



Intro 3

• Field satisfies wave equation. Say monochromatic (time dep. $e^{-i\omega t}$) and propagating in z-direction (z-dependence $e^{i\beta z}$).

$$0 = \left(\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2\right)\psi(t, \vec{x}) = \left(-\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} + \beta^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)\psi(t, \vec{x})$$

$$: \quad \psi(t, \vec{x}) = e^{i\beta z - \omega t} J_0(\alpha \rho) \qquad [\alpha^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \beta^2, \ \rho^2 = x^2 + y^2]$$

- Solvable:
- Bessel function wavefront does not spread.

• Later (past '92) realized one could spin up the result.

$$\psi(t, \vec{x}) = e^{i\beta z - \omega t} e^{im\phi} J_m(\alpha \rho)$$

Orbital angular momentum operator

$$L_z \psi(t, \vec{x}) = m\hbar \psi(t, \vec{x})$$

• Fourier transform is simple

$$\tilde{\psi}(t,\vec{k}) = \int d^3x \, e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \, \psi(t,\vec{x}) = \dots \, \delta(k_z)$$

• The allowed wave vectors \vec{k} form a cone (Opening angle θ_k is "pitch angle")

Intro 4

$$L_z = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\phi$$
 and

[m = any integer]

$$[\kappa = |\vec{k}_{\perp}|]$$

 $(-\beta) \,\delta(\kappa - \alpha) \, i^{-m} e^{im\phi_k}$





• Also, Hilbert space representation. Characterize state by κ, m, k_7 :

$$|\kappa, m, k_{z}\rangle = A_{0} \int \frac{d\phi_{k}}{2\pi} i^{-m} \epsilon$$

• As exercise, can recover c.s. wave function using field operator $\hat{\psi}(x)$,

$$\psi_{\kappa m k_z}(t, \vec{x}) = \langle 0 | \hat{\psi}(x) | \kappa, m, k_z \rangle = A$$

• (Used $\langle 0 | \hat{\psi}(x) | \vec{k} \rangle = e^{-ikx}$)

Intro 5

$e^{im\phi_k}|\overrightarrow{k}\rangle$

(last is plane wave state)

 $A_0 e^{i(k_z z - \omega t)} e^{im\phi} J_m(\kappa \rho)$

Real photon has polarization. Easy to include in momentum space,

$$|\gamma(\kappa, m, k_{z}, \Lambda)\rangle = A_{0} \int \frac{d\phi_{k}}{2\pi} i^{-m} e^{im\phi_{k}} |\gamma(\vec{k}, \Lambda)\rangle \qquad [\Lambda = \text{helicity}]$$

Can get coordinate space potential from

$$A^{\mu}_{\kappa m k_{z}\Lambda}(x) = \langle 0 | \hat{A}^{\mu}(x) | \gamma(\kappa, m, k_{z}, \Lambda) \rangle$$

with
$$\langle 0 | \hat{A}^{\mu}(x) | \gamma(\vec{k}, \Lambda) \rangle = \epsilon_{\vec{k}\Lambda}^{\mu} e^{-ikx}$$

given in terms of Bessel functions.)

Intro 6

• (Expressions complicated by polarization vectors depending on ϕ_k , but analytically



$m_{\gamma} - \Lambda = -1$ $m_{\gamma} - \Lambda = -2$

Surfaces of constant phase

Names: Twisted photons, Vortex photons, Structured light

Intro 7 - pictures





Azimuthal component of Poynting vector magnitude

Azimuthal component of Poynting vector magnitude and direction

- Applications?
- Take advantage of selection rules.

E.g., in photoproduction or photoexcitation





• Have photons with $m_{\gamma}\hbar$ angular momentum in direction of propagation

 $m_f = m_i \pm 1$ only

 $m_f = m_i + m_\gamma$ and m_γ can be any integer

Intro 9-more on selection rules

- w/o background of low angular momentum states
- Thoughts about hitting targets off vortex axis:
 - Plane wave: there is no off-axis
 - Vortex states: Recall plots of Poynting vectors. There is local

 - target and OAM of center of mass of final state.

Could selectively excite and study high angular momentum final states

transverse momentum. Can give sideways kick and OAM to target.

On-axis: all angular momentum must go to internal excitation

Off-axis: angular momentum shared between internal excitation of

Atomic studies

- Can we do it?
- Learn from atomic physics.
- Can localize atoms in Paul trap to few 10's of nanometers
- "Hole" in middle of vortex photons are few wavelengths
 - i.e., few times several hundred nm.
- Hence localization very good.

- Schmidt-Kaler and co) at the JGU Mainz



A spiral phase plate can generate a helically phased beam from a Gaussian. In this case $\ell = 0 \rightarrow \ell = 2$.

Atomic studies 2

Acknowledgement: I learned a lot from the QUANTUM group (Ferdinand)

 Get visible wavelength twisted light from laser with circular polarization passing through spiral wave plates or tuning fork diffraction grating.



- Excellent study ion is ⁴⁰Ca⁺
 - Ground state has $4s_{1/2}$ valence electron
 - Excite to $3d_{5/2}$ with 729 nm photons
 - $3d_{5/2}$ (of course) has $m_f = -5/2, -3/2, \dots, 5/2$
- Zeeman split levels by magnetic field and determent m_f from small changes in γ -energy needed to excite them.

Atomic studies 3

• Hence $\Delta m = m_f - m_i$ measurable. m_{γ} known.

 $\Delta m = m_{\gamma}$ testable



Atomic studies 4

Fig. from Schmiegelow et al., Nat. Comm. (2016)



- In addition there is off-axis data for many m_{γ} , m_f , m_i
- 60 plots, among them for $m_{\gamma} = -2$, $\Lambda = -1$, $m_i = -1/2$



impact parameter

Atomic studies 5

• Have $m_{\gamma} = \pm 2, \pm 1, 0$ (two versions), $m_f = 5$ values (one missing), $m_i = \pm 1/2$.



- Generic: selective excitation of high spin baryon states
- Specific possibility: in $\gamma + N \rightarrow \Delta$, isolate E2 transitions from dominant M1
- Notes: $N ext{ is } J^P = (1/2)^+$; $\Delta ext{ is } J^P = (3/2)^+$
- Hence $N \to \Delta$ photoproduction requires M1 or E2 transitions
 - M1 means angular momentum change L = 1, parity $(-1)^{L+1} = +$
 - E2 means angular momentum change L = 2, parity $(-1)^L = +$

- M1 amplitude often order $(ka)^2 \sim (a/\lambda)^2$ compared to typical E1 scale
- Atomic: λ (wavelength) >> a (linear scale of target), and M1 small
- Nuclear and particle physics: λ and a scales comparable
- For $N \rightarrow \Delta$, both mostly orbital S-states; M1 only needs spin flip and dominates
- The E2 need two units of orbital angular momentum, involving the (small) D-state of the N or Δ , hence small. But important.

- How actually to calculate?
- Will start with atomic-like treatment (ignoring recoil), and then correct for recoil (in archived paper if not in talk).
- Will work to relate twisted photon amplitudes to plane wave helicity amplitudes, which in turn are related to M1 and E2 amplitudes

- $\overrightarrow{b} = b_{\perp}$ in the *x*-*y* plane, $|\gamma(\kappa m_{\gamma}k_{z}\Lambda\vec{b})\rangle = A_{0}\left[\frac{d\phi_{k}}{2\pi}\right]$
- Want amplitude

 $\mathcal{M} = \langle \Delta(m_f) | \mathcal{H}(0) | N(m_i); \gamma(\kappa m_{\gamma} k_z \Lambda \vec{b}) \rangle$

Put target at origin with twisted photon with vortex line passing through

$$\frac{\partial_k}{\partial \tau}(-i)^{m_{\gamma}}e^{im_{\gamma}\phi_k-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{b}} |\gamma(\vec{k},\Lambda)\rangle$$

 \mathscr{H} is interaction Hamiltonian; nucleon is at rest; $m_i \& m_f$ are spin projections in *z*-direction.

 $|\gamma(\vec{k},\Lambda)\rangle = R(\phi_k,\theta_k,0) |\gamma(k\hat{z},\Lambda)\rangle = R_z(\phi_k) R_v(\theta_k) |\gamma(k\hat{z},\Lambda)\rangle$

using Wick (1962) phase convention.

- Rewrite the previous amplitude, since \mathcal{H} is rotation invariant, in terms of photon states in z-direction and rotated N and Δ states.
- Since the nucleon is at rest, rotations are given in terms of Wigner functions

 $R^{\dagger}(\phi_k, \theta_k, 0) | N(m_i) \rangle = e$

(all spins projected along *z*-axis).

• Plane wave states obtained by rotating states w/momentum in *z*-direction,

$$\sum_{m_i^{\prime}} \frac{\sum d_{m_i,m_i^{\prime}}^{1/2}(\theta_k) | N(m_i^{\prime}) \rangle}{m_i^{\prime}}$$

• Can do the same for Δ , if we neglect recoil

$$\left\langle \Delta(m_f) \left| R(\phi_k, \theta_k, 0) = \sum_{m'_f} \left\langle \Delta(m'_f) \left| e^{-im_f \phi_k} d_{m_f, m'_f}^{3/2}(\theta_k) \right. \right. \right.$$

• Put together. Do ϕ_k integral to obtain Bessel function. Result is

$$\mathscr{M} = A_0(-i)^{m_f - m_i} e^{i(m_\gamma + m_i - m_f)\phi_b} J_{m_f}$$

The plane wave amplitude comes from



 $\langle \Delta(m'_f) | \mathscr{H}(0) | N(m'_i); \gamma(k\hat{z}, \Lambda) \rangle = \mathscr{M}^{(\mathsf{pw})}_{m'_i, \Lambda} \delta_{m'_f, m'_i + \Lambda}$

 There are two independent plane wave amplitudes (and others connected) by parity):



 The M1 and E2 amplitudes represented by Jones-Scadron form factors G^*_M and G^*_E , resp., and $E_{\gamma} = (m_{\Delta}^2)$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(G_M^* + G_E^* \right)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{2}{3} \left(G_M^* - 3G_E^* \right) \right)$$

$$(-m_N^2)/(2m_N) = 2\pi/\lambda$$



• No M1 contribution for $\Delta m = 2$ case (right hand plot)

• Can calculate. With $m_{\gamma} = \pm 2, \pm 1, 0$ (two versions), $m_f = 4$ values, and $m_i = \pm 1/2$, could make 48 plots. Show 2. Done for $G_F^*/G_M^* = 3\%$.



 $m_{V}=2, \Lambda=1, m_{\Delta}=3/2, m_{p}=-1/2$

 Comments (only) about recoil. Corrections worked out in archived work. Size depends on small components of Δ wave function squared, nominally $(E_{\gamma}/2M_{\Delta})^2 \approx 1.9\%$. Not so serious.





Last slide

- $m_{\gamma} = \pm 1$ only. Very standard.
- Explore what can be done with an extra degree of freedom.
 - Communications.
 - Atomic physics. Can reverse an use final QN and off-axis behavior as diagnostic of structured photon state.
 - Hadronic physics
 - Higher spin states
 - Isolate small amplitudes, as E2 in Δ photoproduction.

• One attitude: stick to plane wave photons (momentum eigenstate photons) so that

Beam requirements not trivial and not currently possible. But there is a future.



Target localization

 Want target at rest and stationary. But we know quantum mechanics. Best we can do is

$$\Delta x \, \Delta p \ge \frac{1}{2} \hbar \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

- A minimal possibility: $\Delta x \approx 3$ fm and $\Delta p \approx 30$ MeV. Could be o.k.
- For Δ kinetic energy, $\Delta E_\Delta\approx 2E_\gamma\Delta p/(2M_\Delta)\approx 7$ MeV , small compared to Δ width.
- Amplitude plots have minima a few or several λ , and $\lambda = 3.65$ fm. So 3 fm for Δx acceptable, and can adjust. See also Zheludev et al on super-resolution ideas

-200 MeV fm

Unpolarized results





$$A_{\sigma}^{(\Lambda)} = \frac{\sigma_{\Lambda=1} - \sigma_{\Lambda=-1}}{\sigma_{\Lambda=1} + \sigma_{\Lambda=-1}}$$

Twisted vector potential

• Components for $(\kappa, m_{\gamma}, k_{z}, \Lambda)$ and b = 0, Coulomb gauge.

•
$$A_{\rho} = i \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i(k_z z - \omega t + m_{\gamma} \phi)} \left[\cos^2 \frac{\theta_k}{2} J_{m_{\gamma} - \Lambda}(\kappa \rho) + \sin^2 \frac{\theta_k}{2} J_{m_{\gamma} + \Lambda}(\kappa \rho) \right]$$

•
$$A_{\phi} = -\Lambda \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i(k_z z - \omega t + m_{\gamma} \phi)} \left[\cos^2 \frac{\theta_k}{2} J_{m_{\gamma} - \Lambda}(\kappa \rho) - \sin^2 \frac{\theta_k}{2} J_{m_{\gamma} + \Lambda}(\kappa \rho) \right]$$

•
$$A_z = \Lambda \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i(k_z z - \omega t + m_\gamma \phi)} \sin \theta_k J_{m_\gamma}(\kappa \rho)$$